SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

how much we appreciate the fact that you are here every day on

First of all, I know I speak for everybody in saying

23

24

25

we get started this morning.

- 1 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
- THE COURT: Thank you. You may proceed, Mr. Raymond.
- 3 MR. RAYMOND: Thank you, your Honor.
- 4 | DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 5 BY MR. RAYMOND:
- 6 Q. Ms. Katz, where did you work a year ago?
- 7 | A. FTX US.
- 8 | Q. When did you start working there?
- 9 A. April 2022.
- 10 | Q. Ms. Katz can you speak a little further into the mic. I
- 11 | couldn't quite catch that.
- 12 A. April 2022.
- 13 | Q. Where was your office?
- 14 | A. In Washington, D.C.
- 15 Q. What was your title?
- 16 A. Director of government relations and policy.
- 17 | Q. What were your responsibilities as the director of
- 18 government relations and policy?
- 19 A. Advocating for regulatory clarity in the digital asset
- 20 markets.
- 21 | Q. What does it mean to advocate for regulatory clarity? What
- 22 were your day-to-day responsibilities?
- 23  $\parallel$  A. Speaking with people in Washington about the importance of
- 24 | federal oversight over crypto spot markets.
- 25 || Q. When you say speaking to people in Washington, what types

- 1 | of people were you speaking to?
- 2 A. Staffers on the hill.
- 3 Q. When you say the hill, what does that refer to?
- 4 A. Congress.
- 5 Q. Who did you report to?
- 6 A. Mark Wetjen.
- 7 | Q. Ms. Katz, did you ever meet Sam Bankman-Fried?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Did you ever meet him in Washington, D.C.?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. Based on your job responsibilities, were you aware of the
- 12 purposes of his trips to D.C.?
- 13 A. The purposes that I was, you know, involved with, I guess,
- 14 | yes.
- 15 | Q. What were the purposes of his trips, if any?
- 16 | A. What I saw of -- engaging with policy makers about digital
- 17 | asset regulation.
- 18 | Q. How did you know that was the purpose of some of his trip
- 19 | to D.C.?
- 20 | A. Because I participated in some of those meetings.
- 21 | Q. So that's meetings with Mr. Bankman-Fried and some of the
- 22 policy makers you were describing?
- 23 | A. Correct.
- 24 | Q. As far as you know, did Sam Bankman-Fried ever make any
- 25 public statements while in D.C. related to FTX?

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | 0. What were those?
- 3 | A. He testified before Congress in May, I believe, of 2022.
- 4 Q. How do you know that he testified before Congress in May
- 5 | 2022?
- 6 A. Because I was present in the room.
- 7 MR. RAYMOND: Your Honor, the government offers
- 8 Government Exhibit 833, pursuant to stipulation Government
- 9 | Exhibit 2001.
- 10 THE COURT: Received.
- 11 (Government Exhibit 833 received in evidence)
- MR. RAYMOND: Your Honor, may we publish?
- 13 THE COURT: Yes.
- MR. RAYMOND: Thank you.
- 15 | Q. Ms. Katz, can you read out the date of this tweet.
- 16 A. November 28, 2021.
- 17 | Q. Was that November 28?
- 18 A. I'm sorry. November 8, 2021.
- 19 | Q. In this first tweet there is a reference to
- 20 | blog.FTX.com/policy.
- 21 Do you see that?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. Do you recognize that link?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25  $\parallel$  Q. What was it or is it?

- 1 A. FTX's blog in which they would post kind of think pieces 2 related to digital asset policy.
  - Q. Did you ever review the materials posted to that site?
  - A. I had read some of them, the material, yes.
  - Q. And why did you review those?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. To understand how FTX was thinking about policy.

MR. RAYMOND: Your Honor, the government will now read the first sentence of paragraph 8 of Government Exhibit 2000, which is a stipulation between the parties. Samuel Bankman-Fried testified before the United States House of Representatives Financial Services Committee on December 8, 2021.

Your Honor, the government now offers --

THE COURT: Before you go on, I am going to give an instruction.

Members of the jury, we talked about stipulations three weeks ago. You must accept as a fact what Mr. Raymond just read as having been stipulated.

Let's go on, Mr. Raymond.

MR. RAYMOND: Thank you, your Honor.

Your Honor the government now offers Government Exhibits 913-1 and 913-2, pursuant to stipulation GX-2000, which are, according to the stipulation, true and correct copies of excerpts of video of his testimony that day.

THE COURT: They are received.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

THE COURT: Yes.

It appears to be Sam Bankman-Fried's testimony before the

House Financial Services Committee in December of 2021, and

this was obviously before -- long before I joined FTX.

23

24

- 1 | Q. What does it list Mr. Bankman-Fried's role as?
- 2 MR. COHEN: Objection.
- 3 | THE COURT: Sustained.
- 4 MR. RAYMOND: That's fine, your Honor.
- 5 Mr. Bianco, could you get out of this and go to the
- 6 page 2. Could you just highlight this portion.
- 7 MR. COHEN: Same objection, your Honor.
- 8 THE COURT: I have not heard a question.
- 9 MR. RAYMOND: Your Honor, we can pull this down. We don't need to go further on this document.
- 11 | Q. Ms. Katz, can you read this portion of the document.
- 12 MR. COHEN: Objection.
- 13 | THE COURT: Overruled.
- MR. RAYMOND: Thank you.
- 15 | A. FTX has designed and offered a platform with a market
- 16 structure that is risk reducing. To be sure, there are
- 17 | irresponsible actors in the digital asset industry and those
- 18 | actors attract the headlines, but FTX is not one of them and in
- 19 | fact has built a resilient risk-reducing platform as a
- 20 competitive advantage.
- 21 | Q. Thank you.
- MR. RAYMOND: Mr. Bianco, can you go to page 3 of the
- 23 document. Can you highlight the bottom.
- 24 | Q. Ms. Katz, could you read this portion.
- 25  $\parallel$  A. BTC and ETH, two tokens expressly addressed by the CFTC to

THE COURT: Received.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(Government Exhibit 836 received in evidence)

MR. RAYMOND: May we publish it?

THE COURT: Yes, sir.

Ms. Katz, could you just read the date of these tweets.

- 1 A. December 8, 2021, December 8, 2021.
- 2 Q. Could you just read the first under number 1. Can you read
- $3 \parallel \text{the tweet.}$
- 4 A. A huge thanks to Maxine Waters, Patrick McHenry, and the
- 5 | whole House Financial Services Committee for having us today to
- 6 | talk about the future of digital assets.
- 7 MR. RAYMOND: Mr. Bianco, can you get out of this
- 8 | tweet.
- 9 A. Again, this tweet tweeted before I joined the company.
- MR. RAYMOND: Your Honor, the government next offers
- 11  $\parallel$  GX-763, pursuant to GX-2001.
- 12 THE COURT: Received.
- 13 | (Government Exhibit 763 received in evidence)
- 14 MR. RAYMOND: Can we publish to the jury?
- 15 THE COURT: Yes.
- MR. RAYMOND: Thank you.
- 17 Mr. Bianco, can you publish.
- 18 | Q. Ms. Katz, can you just read out the date first.
- 19 A. February 6, 2022.
- 20 | Q. Could you read the tweet.
- 21 | A. I'm honored and excited to be testifying before the senate
- 22 | AG committee on digital assets Wednesday, along with CFTC
- 23 | Behnam.
- 24 Also before I joined the company.
- 25 MR. RAYMOND: Your Honor, the government will now read

- 20
- 22 Can you read the FTX underscore official tweet on top of 23 that.
- 24 To download Sam's testimony, please go to FTX US. If you 25 would like to view all of our policy briefs, please see

the senate.

- 1 | Q. Ms. Katz, do you recognize this document?
- 2 | A. Yes.

5

- $3 \parallel Q$ . What is it?
  - A. Sam Bankman-Fried's testimony before the senate agriculture committee on February 9, 2022.
- 6 Also before I joined FTX.
- 7 Q. What is Mr. Bankman-Fried's role listed as in this 8 document?
- 9 A. Cofounder and CEO of FTX.
- MR. RAYMOND: Mr. Bianco, could you go down to page 2
  of this document, and could you highlight this portion.
- 12 Q. Ms. Katz, could you just read the first sentence of the 13 highlighted portion.
- A. FTX released this week FTX's key principles for ensuring
  investor protections on digital asset platforms (investor
  protection key principles) where we identified the most
- the CEA and CFTC rules also reflect) and how FTX offers those protections today with the direct membership model.

important components of an investor-protection regime (which

- Q. Ms. Katz, as part of your responsibilities at FTX US, were you aware of FTX's key principles?
- 22 A. Yes.

- 23 | Q. Had you reviewed a document by that title?
- A. That document was published before I joined FTX, but I had seen it on the blog.

- Q. And could you just read the components listed below.
- 2 MR. COHEN: Objection.
- 3 | THE COURT: Sustained.
- 4 Let's move it along.
- 5 MR. RAYMOND: Thank you, your Honor.
- 6 Mr. Bianco, could you go to page 5 of the document.
- 7 | Could you pull up the top.
- Q. Ms. Katz, is this the key principles document you were talking about a moment ago?
- 10 A. I believe so.

- 11 MR. RAYMOND: Mr. Bianco, can you highlight on the
- 12 | next page of the document the introduction, just the first
- 13 | sentence: FTX strongly believes ensuring investor protections
- 14 | is critical to the successful operations of digital asset
- 15 | platformings, including our own, as well as to ensuring
- 16 positive user experience for our customers.
- 17 | Q. Ms. Katz, when you were working at FTX, did you have any
- 18 reason to believe this statement was false?
- 19 | A. No.
- 20 MR. RAYMOND: Mr. Bianco, can you go to the next page
- 21 of this document. Under the -- the page after this. At the
- 22 | very top, maintaining adequate resources.
- 23 | Q. Is maintaining adequate resources to return a customer's
- 24 | assets, a hallmark of the --
- 25 MR. COHEN: Objection.

funded by the company for its non-U.S. platform. Q. Ms. Katz, did you have any reason to believe that this statement was false when you were working at FTX? Α. No.

22

23

24

- MR. RAYMOND: And, finally, Mr. Bianco, could you go to page 10 of this document. I think it's the next page.
- Do you see the line additionally as a general principle, Mr. Bianco, in the middle of the page? Can you just highlight the middle of the page. Thank you.
- Additionally, as a general principle, FTX segregates customer assets from its own assets across our platforms.
- Q. Ms. Katz, when you were working at FTX, did you have any
  reason to believe this statement was false?
- A. No. These were issues that I had no visibility or I didn't pay attention to and had nothing to do with what I was doing there.
- 13 Q. Ms. Katz, did you draft this document?
- 14 | A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

- MR. RAYMOND: Mr. Bianco, you can pull this document down and can you pull up GX-873, which is in evidence. Could you go to page 8.
- 18 | Q. Ms. Katz, can you read out the date of this tweet.
- 19 | A. Yes. February 9, 2022.
- 20 | Q. Can you also read out the time.
- 21 | A. 7:40 p.m.
- MR. RAYMOND: Your Honor, the government next offers
  GX-839, pursuant to stipulation GX-2001.
- 24 | THE COURT: Received.
- 25 (Government Exhibit 839 received in evidence)

- I believe so. Α.
- 2 Did you attend the hearing?
- 3 Α. Yes.

- 4 MR. RAYMOND: Your Honor, the government now offers GX-845, pursuant to stipulation GX-2001.
- 5
- THE COURT: Received. 6
- 7 (Government Exhibit 845 received in evidence)
- 8 MR. RAYMOND: May we publish it?
- 9 THE COURT: You may.
- 10 Ms. Katz, can you read the date of this tweet.
- 11 May 11, 2022. Α.
- 12 Can you read just the top number 3 tweet and then letter C
- 13 below that.
- 14 We think that by granting federal oversight of digital
- 15 asset markets, it would, C, protect customers.
- 16 Thank you.
- 17 MR. RAYMOND: Your Honor, the government next offers
- 18 GX-916-T, which, pursuant to stipulation GX-2000, is a document
- 19 submitted by Samuel Bankman-Fried to the United States House
- 20 Committee on Agriculture for a hearing held on June 23, 2022.
- 21 THE COURT: Received.
- 22 (Government Exhibit 916-T received in evidence)
- 23 Ms. Katz, were you working at FTX US in June 2022?
- 24 Yes. Α.
- 25 Were you aware of a submission made to Congress around June

- 1 | 23, 2022?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. Did you participate in preparing materials submitted to
- 4 | Congress?
- 5 A. I can't recall exactly. I believe this is the compilation
- 6 of things that were posted on the FTX blog which were all
- 7 | drafted before I joined the company, so I had nothing to do
- 8 | with writing the content, but I might have helped submit it to
- 9 Congress.
- 10 | Q. I think you mentioned the word compilation. Were you
- 11 | involved in compiling the documents from the FTX blog?
- 12 A. I can't recall exactly, but that might have been something
- 13 | that my boss at the time, Mark Wetjen, asked me to do, but I
- 14 | can't recall exactly.
- MR. RAYMOND: Your Honor, may we publish?
- 16 THE COURT: Yes.
- MR. RAYMOND: Mr. Bianco, can you go to page 3 of this
- 18 document. Can you pull up just the top.
- 19 | Q. Ms. Katz, do you recognize this document?
- 20 | A. Yes. Something from an FTX blog post published before I
- 21 | joined the company.
- 22 | Q. And is this the company principles document that you
- 23 | mentioned before?
- 24 | A. I believe so.
- 25 MR. RAYMOND: Mr. Bianco, could you just return to the

- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21 Yes. Α.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- 22 Is your awareness part of your duties working for FTX US?
- 23 Α. Yes.
- 24 MR. RAYMOND: Your Honor, the last one now. government offers GX-856, pursuant to GX-2001. 25

2 (Government Exhibit 856 received in evidence)

- Ms. Katz, first, can you read the date on these tweets.
- 4 October 19, 2022.
- 5 For the second tweet can you just read 2A.
- 6 At a high level, we need regulatory oversight and customer 7 protection.
- 8 Q. Ms. Katz, during your testimony you've seen certain tweets 9 and public statements by Mr. Bankman-Fried. Did you believe
- 10 those statements about how FTX worked when you worked at FTX
- 11 US?

1

- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 MR. RAYMOND: No further questions, your Honor.
- 14 THE COURT: Thank you. Is there any cross?
- 15 MR. COHEN: Yes, your Honor.
- 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 17 BY MR. COHEN:
- 18 Q. Just a few questions, Ms. Katz.
- 19 Just so the record is clear, when did you actually
- 20 work at FTX?
- 21 From April 2022 through November 2022.
- 22 So about six, seven months?
- 23 Α. Correct.
- 24 And I think you mentioned a fellow named Mark Wetjen, is
- 25 that right?

- $1 \parallel A$ . Yes, sir.
- 2 | Q. He was your boss?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. Do you know his background?
- 5 A. Somewhat, yes.
- 6 Q. Do you know if he had ever worked for CFTC?
- 7  $\blacksquare$  A. He did, yes.
- 8 0. And what did he do there?
- 9 A. I believe he was a commissioner.
- 10 | Q. He was one of the commissioners of the CFTC?
- 11  $\parallel$  A. To my knowledge, yes.
- MR. COHEN: Now, if we could call up just very quickly
- 13 | GX-844.
- 14 | Q. Do you recall Mr. Raymond just showed this document to you?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. And it references testimony before the House Committee on
- 17 | Agriculture. You see tractors and apples and so forth.
- 18 You see that, ma'am?
- 19 | A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. Do you know -- let me back up.
- The House Committee on Agriculture had supervision
- 22 | over the CFTC, isn't that correct?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- MR. COHEN: We can take that down.
- 25 | Q. Now, you mentioned that during the time you were at FTX

- 1 A. Good morning.
- 2 | Q. Where do you work?
- 3 A. I work at the University of Notre Dame.
- 4 | Q. What's your title or role at University of Notre Dame?
  - A. I'm a professor of accounting.
- 6 Q. What areas of accounting do you focus on?
- 7 A. I cover accounting largely at the border of finance and
- 8 | accounting, valuation, financial statement analysis, those
- 9 kinds of things.

- 10 | Q. Let me ask you a little bit about your background. Where
- 11 | did you do your schooling?
- 12 A. I did undergraduate schooling and some postgraduate
- 13 schooling in Australia, then in the late '70s moved to
- 14 University of California Berkeley, where I completed the Ph.D.
- 15 | in finance and accounting.
- 16 | Q. What did you do after getting your Ph.D.?
- 17 A. My first appointment was at the University of Chicago. I
- 18 continued to work at the University of Chicago until 2008 on
- 19 and off. I also went back to Australia for five years, at Ohio
- 20 | State for eight years, and I've been 20 years at the University
- 21 of Notre Dame.
- 22 | Q. Since becoming a professor at the University of Notre Dame,
- 23 | what roles have you had?
- 24 | A. I've been director of a center for education and accounting
- $25 \parallel$  and research and accounting. I have taught accounting classes,

- 1 | again on the border of finance and accounting.
- 2 | Q. Are you a tenured professor?
- $3 \parallel A$ . Yes, I am.
- 4 Q. What are some of the requirements for becoming a tenured
- 5 professor?
- 6 A. The requirements are teaching, of course, but also
- 7 | publication in the very top academic journals.
- 8 | Q. What do you teach?
- 9 A. I teach essentially penetrating financial statements,
- 10 | understanding the financial statements and understanding their
- 11 | implications for security valuations or asset valuation of
- 12 | various kinds.
- 13  $\parallel$  Q. You mentioned, in addition to teaching, doing research.
- 14 | What are your areas of research?
- 15 A. My areas of research for the entire 40 years of my research
- 16 career have been based on penetrating the details of financial
- 17 | statements and understanding the implications of those
- 18 | financial statements for securities markets, securities prices,
- 19 | asset valuations in general.
- 20  $\parallel$  Q. From your research have you published any books or
- 21 | articles?
- 22 A. Yes. I published five books. I have published 50 articles
- 23 | in the top journals.
- 24 | Q. What types of books have you published?
- 25  $\parallel$  A. My books are all on financial statement analysis,

- penetrating the financial statements, and the implications for valuation.
- 3 | Q. Are they textbooks?
- 4 A. They are all textbooks, yes.
- 5 Q. I assumed not fictional books about penetrating, correct?
- 6 A. Right.
- 7 | Q. Have you worked on any academic journals?
- 8 A. Yes. I've been editor on all of the top four journals in
- 9 | the United States, on the top journal in Canada, top journal in
- 10 | Europe, and the top journal in the Asia Pacific area.
- 11 | Q. When you say top journals, you're referring to accounting
- 12 | journals?
- 13 | A. I am, yes.
- 14 | Q. Have you ever worked on any litigation or court cases?
- 15 | A. Yes, I have.
- 16 | Q. At a high level, what kind of litigation?
- 17 A. This has, again, been penetrating the financial statements,
- 18 understanding issues in the accounting statements, things that
- 19 may have been omitted or incorrectly stated, and the
- 20 | implications of that for valuation; generally, not always.
- 21 | Q. Have you ever worked on any cases involving financial or
- 22 | accounting fraud?
- 23 A. Yes, I have.
- 24 | Q. What cases?
- 25  $\parallel$  A. I have worked on three cases. These were cases in the

- 1 | early 2000s: Enron, WorldCom, and Parmalat.
- 2 Q. Now, have you ever worked on any cases or matters related
- 3 | to cryptocurrency?
- 4 A. Yes, I have.
- $5 \parallel Q$ . What have you worked on?
- 6 A. I worked on the SEC v. Ripple case.
- 7 MR. ROOS: At this time, your Honor, the government 8 would move to qualify --
- 9 THE COURT: Not necessary. Received.
- MR. ROOS: Thank you, your Honor.
- 11 | May we inquire on that basis?
- 12 THE COURT: Yes, of course.
- Q. Professor Easton, I would like to ask you a few preliminary questions about your involvement in this case before we get to
- 15 | the substance.
- To your knowledge, have you ever met any of the witnesses in the case?
- 18 A. No, I have not.
- 19 | Q. And have you ever met the defendant, Samuel Bankman-Fried?
- 20 A. No, I have not.
- 21 | Q. Do you have any personal knowledge of the facts of the case
- 22 other than what you have learned over the course of your work
- 23  $\parallel$  on the case?
- 24 | A. No, I do not.
- 25  $\parallel$  Q. Is there anyone who has assisted you in the work you've

- 1 done on this matter?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. Who is that?
- 4 A. I've been assisted by a team from a litigation consulting
- 5 | firm called Brattle.
- 6 Q. What kind of work did Brattle do to assist you?
- 7 A. Brattle did a lot of the investigation of the details of
- 8 | the data, programming, penetrating details, helping me to
- 9 aggregate data together.
- 10  $\parallel$  Q. When you do academic research, is it typical for you to be
- 11 | assisted by others?
- 12 A. Absolutely.
- 13 | Q. Whose direction did the individuals at Brattle work under?
- 14 A. Under mine.
- 15 | Q. And were you compensated for your work on this case?
- 16 | A. Yes, I was.
- 17 | Q. Approximately how much have you billed on this case to
- 18 | date?
- 19 | A. I'm not sure, but in excess of 100,000.
- 20  $\parallel$  Q. One hundred hours or dollars? What did you say?
- 21 | A. In excess of \$100,000.
- 22 | Q. Got it.
- 23 Will you bill for your time at this trial?
- 24 | A. Yes, I will.
- 25 | Q. And have you been paid yet for your work?

- 1 A. Yes, I have.
- 2 | Q. You said you work with Brattle. Are you compensated for
- 3 | the work that Brattle does in the case?
- 4 | A. No, I'm not.
- 5 Q. Is your pay dependent in any way on the opinions you give
- 6 | in the courtroom today?
- 7  $\mathbb{A}$ . No, it is not.
- 8 | Q. Is your pay in any way dependent on the outcome of this
- 9 | case?
- 10  $\parallel$  A. No, it is not.
- 11 | Q. In the binder in front of you there are a set of exhibits
- 12 | for your review. Professor Easton, if you would just flip
- 13 | through those and see if you're familiar with them.
- 14 For the record, the binder contains Government
- 15 | Exhibits 1001 to 1005, 1010, 1011, 1013, 1014, 1017 and its
- 16 | subparts, 1018, 1023-1033, 1035, 1039, 1040, 1041, 1044, 1045,
- 17 | 1050, 1051, and 3000 through 3016.
- 18 THE COURT: Mr. Roos, can you repeat those backwards.
- 19 MR. ROOS: Those are actually my lotto numbers also.
- 20 THE COURT: Good luck.
- 21 | Q. Professor Easton, are you familiar with those exhibits that
- 22 | are marked for identification?
- 23 | A. Yes, I am.
- 24  $\parallel$  Q. And, generally speaking, what is in the binder before you?
- 25  $\parallel$  A. What is in the binder is a series of exhibits and backup

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

yesterday for numbers 1017 through 1051.

THE COURT: They are all received.

(Government Exhibits 1001 to 1005, 1010, 1011, 1013, 1014, 1017, including its subparts, 1018, 1023-1033, 1035, 1039-1041, 1044, 1045, 1050, 1051, 30, 56, 89, 188, 201, 213,

to put them in is bank statements. The FTX database was a

There was a large amount of materials, but the easiest box

23

24

25

you consider?

- 19 20 21
- 22 Did you process or code the data in any way?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

23 Yes. Me and my team did. We identified individual 24 customers. This was a very time-consuming task because 25 individual customers could be labeled in very many ways. Peter

THE COURT: Just a minute.

are valued at \$6.5 million.

And the transaction hash --

22

23

24

25

that this is the transfer of 4400 ether, near enough, and those

Professor Easton, can you describe what we are looking at

So I have also examined bank statements from third-party

(212) 805-0300

23

24

25

on page 4 of this exhibit.

- 1 | lenders. In this case this is the beginning of a very long
- 2 | bank statement from Genesis, one of the lenders, and it just,
- 3 | in this particular snapshot, shows an invoice to Alameda
- 4 Research for the interest that's accrued for the month of
- 5 August 2022. In the left-hand column you will see the
- 6 | currencies: BNB, Bitcoin, Ether, Link, etc. In the middle
- 7 column you will see the accrued interest on the cryptocurrency.
- 8 And in the right hand column you will see the accrued interest.
- 9 3.6 million on the U.S. dollar.
- 10 | Q. How were documents like these statements from
- 11 cryptocurrency lenders like Genesis incorporated into your
- 12 | overall analysis?
- 13 A. Again, for these, it was largely understanding the source
- 14 of funds to Alameda and FTX.
- MR. ROOS: We can take the exhibit down.
- 16 | Q. Once you had all of this data, what types of analyses did
- 17 | you do?
- 18 A. Then I did both high-level analyses and analyses
- 19 penetrating individual transactions.
- 20  $\parallel$  Q. Have you reached any conclusions from those analyses?
- 21 A. Yes, I have, some at a very high level and others at a very
- 22 | transactional level.
- 23 Q. Starting at the high level --
- 24 MR. ROOS: Let's publish Government Exhibit 3001.
- 25  $\parallel$  Q. What are these?

A. These are the 30,000-feet, high-level conclusions.

First of all, the amount of customer fiat deposits — and all analysis are broken into fiat versus crypto — the amount of customer deposits held in Alameda Research and FTX.com accounts was way less than was owed to customers on FTX. And then the question, of course, is what happened to that money. Well, Alameda Research used it for their own expenditures.

Similarly, the amount of FTX hot and cold crypto wallets was far less -- the amount owed in those wallets was far less than the amount that was owed to FTX customers, and, again, Alameda Research used customer crypto funds to pay for the expenditures.

Also Alameda Research had the opportunity to borrow against FTX, and there was not sufficient borrowing to cover those expenditures.

(Continued on next page)

1 BY MR. ROOS:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. And you're referring to through the spot margin program?
- A. Through the spot margin program, yes, exactly.
- Q. All right. We're going to go through these conclusions, so why don't we take this down.

You mentioned some findings relating to fiat and some findings related to cryptocurrency, and before we go through the findings, I just want to talk about the differences there.

MR. ROOS: Why don't we publish Government Exhibit 3002.

- Q. And starting on page 1, Professor Easton, can you explain to the jury what you mean by fiat currency.
- A. So by fiat currency, I mean the currency that we are all used to using. US currency is the fiat currency for the United States. We have a hundred dollar bill. The pound, of course, is the fiat currency for the UK. The euro is the fiat currency for Europe. The yen is the fiat currency for Japan. These are currencies we're used to seeing, and throughout my testimony, I will use color codes to remind us of what we're talking about. Fiat will always be shown as a green identity.

MR. ROOS: And let's go to page 2.

- Q. What information has been added to the screen?
- A. The other side of the screen points out that we've got this alternative cryptocurrency. Cryptocurrency is recorded by unique hashtag, and you'll see an example in the bottom

accounting is a classic double-entry bookkeeping accounting

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- 13 How is that part of the double-entry bookkeeping accounting 14 system you described?
- 15 So this is the second entry or the negative entry to 16 balance the positive entry. We could use the term debits and 17 credits, but they are unnecessarily confusing.
- 18 Q. So let's say a customer deposits a hundred dollars into one 19 of these Alameda or North Dimension or FTX bank accounts. 20 is that recorded in the FTX database bookkeeping account?
- 21 It should be recorded as a corresponding liability. 22 this case we've got a hundred dollars deposited, and there will 23 be a corresponding amount owing against that deposit, a 24 liability.
  - And how is it documented within the accounting system in

THE WITNESS: FTX exchange.

24

25

THE COURT: And "ledger" in this context means what? THE WITNESS: This means the general ledger at FTX.

- 19
- 22 MR. ROOS: Can we please publish Government
- 23 Exhibit 1003.
- 24 THE COURT: Yes.
- 25 Professor Easton, what does Government Exhibit 1003 show?

- 1  $\parallel$  A. So just to orient us on the—the graph here, the x or
- 2 horizontal axis denotes months, going from the beginning of
- 3 | January 2021 through November 11, 2022. You'll see the
- 4 | vertical axis, the y axis, is importantly billions of dollars.
- 5 What I plot here is the monthly month-end balance in the fiat
- 6 | liability—in other words, the increase in the liability over
- 7 | time. And you will see that it increases steadily to a peak of
- 8 | 11.3 billion in June of 2022.
- 9 Q. And what was the largest point of fiat liability?
- 10 A. What was the largest point?
- 11 | Q. Yes.
- 12 | A. 11.3 billion.
- 13 | Q. And so how would that be expressed in the fiat@ftx.com
- 14 | account?
- 15 | A. That would be expressed as a negative amount, a liability.
- MR. ROOS: All right. Let's take a look at Government
- 17 | Exhibit 1004.
- 18 | Q. And Professor Easton, can you explain what this exhibit
- 19 shows.
- $20 \parallel A$ . Yes. Well, first of all, we have the liability that we
- 21 | just had on the previous account—previous exhibit; we've
- 22 | repeated it here. So the liability, the amount that should
- 23 | have been deposited to bank accounts, was 11.3 billion. The
- 24 | amount that was actually in those accounts is the greenish-blue
- 25 | line. You can see it's quite low, reaches around about

- 1 | 2 billion, 2.3 billion, at the time of the peak liability.
- 2 | Q. And so just so we're sure we understand, the black line,
- 3 how, if at all, does that compare to the black line on the last
- 4 exhibit?
- 5 A. It's exactly the same line.
- 6 | Q. And the green line represents what?
- 7 A. The green line represents the actual bank balance of
- 8 customer accounts, the amount of customer money that was really
- 9 there, whereas the black line represents the amount that should
- 10 | have been there.
- 11 | Q. And then the white boxes here represent what?
- 12 | A. The—the discrepancy, the difference between what was there
- 13 | and what should have been there at these two different points
- 14 | in time. One point in time is the end of June 2022, the other
- 15 | at the end of October 2022.
- 16 | Q. All right. So let's go back to the diagram we were looking
- 17 | at previously about fiat deposits, but use these June balance
- 18 | numbers.
- 19 MR. ROOS: Could we bring up Government Exhibit 3004.
- 20 | Q. What does this show, Professor Easton?
- 21 A. So now we're going back to—you'll see the accounting at
- 22 | the bottom of this slide. The accounting requires that every
- 23 dollar a customer deposits has a corresponding amount in the
- 24 || fiat liability. So the fiat liability should have been 11—is
- 25  $\parallel$  11.3 billion. Yet if we look at what was actually in these

21

24

25

box.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Q. And what significance, if any, do the colors have on these boxes?

A. The color green represents customer accounts; the color blue will always represent Alameda accounts, Alameda Research

- accounts; black will always represent FTX bank accounts; and yellow will always represent Paper Bird-SBF.
- Q. And just to be clear about the blue, those are Alameda
  Research accounts that do not receive customer funds.
  - A. That is correct.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

Q. Now let's go to page 2.

And what information has been added to the exhibit?

A. So they were in fact 47 accounts that accepted customer funds that I could have put in this box. Of course it would have been unreadable, so what I've done is I've separated out the 11 biggest. We can all see of course there's 12, but there's a 12th, which is the customer withdrawals and deposits.

Q. Okay. Let's look at page 3.

And what information has been added to the exhibit now?

- A. Similarly, I've identified the main accounts in—the ones with the largest amount of funds in Alameda Research, in FTX on the right-hand side, and SBF on the bottom right-hand corner.
- Q. Now you mentioned receiving fiat deposits. I think earlier in your testimony you also mentioned stablecoins. To what extent do the accounts in green also receive stablecoin conversions?
- 23 A. They do also receive stablecoin conversions.
  - Q. Now let's go to the next page.

And can you explain what additional information has

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- accounts—in other words, movement in both directions—but also movement from FTX accounts and customer accounts to Paper Bird, SBF's entity on the bottom right-hand corner.
  - MR. ROOS: Could we go to page 7.
- I see a purple box has been added to the screen.

- 1 | that represent?
- 2 A. Well, there's another important actor in this—this whole
- 3 sequence, and this is third-party investors who put money into
- 4 | FTX, so their funds also were part of the mix.
- 5 MR. ROOS: And let's go to the last page, page 8.
- 6 | Q. And what information is added now?
- 7 A. So this—these purple lines now show the flow of investor
- 8 | funds, and you'll see that there's a flow of investor funds to
- 9 | SBF bank accounts, there's a flow to Paper Bird, the SBF
- 10 | entity, and there's a flow to North Dimension, which is the
- 11 | Alameda bank account accepting customer funds.
- 12 | Q. Focusing on those purple lines and the flow of them, have
- 13 you been able to determine which investors' funds were
- 14 | transferred to the North Dimension Alameda accounts?
- 15 A. Yes, I have.
- MR. ROOS: Could we please bring up Government
- 17 | Exhibit 1023.
- 18 A. This is the list of investors.
- 19 | Q. Okay. And the list of investors that were—that make up
- 20 | those purple lines on the last slide?
- 21 A. Exactly.
- 22 | Q. Okay. And what do-just so we understand, do you see where
- 23 | it says Settled Date of Sources of Funds, and then next to it,
- 24 | it says Source of Loaned Funds? What does Source of Loaned
- 25 | Funds refer to?

- A. The source of loaned funds refers to the entity that put the money in, that made the investment in FTX, the date of that investment is on the left-hand side.
- 4 MR. ROOS: All right. We can take this down.
- Q. So now let's talk about what happened to the customer funds that moved through these accounts. And was any of the customer money spent?
  - A. Oh, yes.

2

3

8

16

- 9 MR. ROOS: Could we publish Government Exhibit 1044.
- 10 Q. And focusing on page 1, Professor Easton, can you explain what this shows.
- A. Yes. So this—this just is a schema that points out that

  customer funds were used in various ways, and four ways that I

  analyzed were: investment in businesses and financial

  funds—I'll show some examples; political contributions;

charitable foundations; and in the purchase of real estate.

- MR. ROOS: So let's go to page 2 of this exhibit.
- Q. And focusing on investments in business and financial funds, what are you referring to?
- A. Here, it is investments by Alameda entities in businesses and other financial activities.
- Q. Now the top of your exhibit here says Uses of Customer
  Funds. Have you been able to trace customer funds from
  customer bank accounts to investments in businesses and
  financial funds?

A. Yes, I have.

1

5

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Bahamas.

- 2 | Q. Okay. Let's look at some examples.
- MR. ROOS: Could we please bring up Government Exhibit 1033.
  - Q. Professor Easton, what does this exhibit show?
- A. So this—again, the color coding is helpful, I hope. So or the left-hand side we have customer funds, which are in turn transferred through a bank that handles customer deposits to the right-hand side, where we have a purchase, in this case it's of Modulo Capital, which was a startup hedge fund in the
- Q. And I want to talk to you a little bit, before we go deeper into the actual tracing here, about the process of tracing, now
- 14 | that you've used the term. What are you referring to?
  - always go from right to left. So the investment is in Modulo Capital. I then can identify a transaction where the transfer occurred from the bank to Modulo Capital. Going back further to the left, I can see—if you look at the top line on

A. So here, if we go from right to left—it's unusual, but we

- the—June 27th, there was a transfer to Modulo; on the same day there was a transfer of customer funds to Alameda Research, traced in turn to the purchase of Modulo Capital.
- 23 Q. So let's focus on the process of tracing.
- MR. ROOS: Could we go to page 2.
- 25  $\parallel$  Q. So just focusing on this first transaction, I want to talk

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And then next we see the transfer from the bank to Modulo Capital.

All of these transfers are identified in the record, so we can go back and see every one of those transactions.

MR. ROOS: Let's go to the next page.

Q. Beyond the tracing in the bank records, have you utilized any other information?

A. Where possible, we used other information, and this is an example. This is an example of a Slack message, an internal email, if you like, between Sam Bankman-Fried—from Sam Bankman-Fried, and it says this \$50 million which we've just traced is for Modulo Capital LP, we should send it via the Signet account, which is the Signet account labeled above, 9485, and then we see Jen says paid.

MR. ROOS: Now let's go back to the tracing slide.

Let's go to page 8. And I want to focus on the bottom sequence as an example. And focusing on that bottom sequence. Let's go to the next page.

- Q. Can you walk us through this sequence.
- A. Yes. So now this also involves Modulo Capital, and of course the handshake doesn't have to occur anymore because we've already established connection. So we'll see—if we go to next, we'll see a transfer of a hundred million dollars on—late on the 25th of September.

Then we see—if we go to the next, we'll see a further transfer of what totals \$192 million. So we know that in the immediate vicinity of this transfer, large chunks of money totaling 292 million have been transferred from customer funds to the bank account holding the customer funds and in turn to Modulo Capital for the investment.

- 1 MR. ROOS: Okay. Can we go to the next.
- $2 \parallel Q$ . Is that what that shows?
- 3 | A. I'm sorry. Yes, it does.
  - Q. All right.

- 5 MR. ROOS: And then let's go back to—let's go one 6 more page in.
- 7 A. So this then summarized, we've got a total of \$292 million.
- 8 | We don't know exactly what customer this \$292 million comes
- 9 | from, but we know it is only customer funds, it's not any other
- 10 source of funds, and so \$292 million of customer funds was used
- 11 | to purchase Modulo Capital.
- MR. ROOS: If we could please go to page 14, the next
- 13 page.
- 14  $\parallel$  Q. And what additional information has been added?
- 15 | A. So now again we have internal email messages. First of
- 16 | all, we're putting an additional 250 million into Modulo.
- 17 | Would you be able to send over the funds to the same Signet
- 18 | address. It would be great if this done tonight, and ideally,
- 19 | 250 million tonight. Indeed, that's in—on September 26th at
- 20  $\parallel$  5 p.m.—5 a.m. The money was transferred on the 26th, that
- 21 same day.
- 22 | Q. All right.
- 23 MR. ROOS: Let's go back to the first page of this
- 24 | Exhibit 1033, page 1.
- 25  $\parallel$  Q. And so now, now that we've gone through the exercise of

1 tracing, what is your conclusion, expressed on Government 2 Exhibit 1033?

A. Okay. So we—we've looked in detail at the tracing of the top transfer and the bottom transfer on this page. We did similar analysis for the other two. So overall we can conclude that all of the purchase of Modulo Capital was made using customer funds.

MR. ROOS: Okay. We can take this exhibit down.

Let's put up Government Exhibit 1027A, please.

- Q. And Professor Easton, what does Government Exhibit 1027A show?
- A. So this is a similar demonstration. Now a payment to Genesis Digital Assets, which was a crypto miner. It's a little more complicated because we get a flow through many Alameda accounts accepting customer deposits, and you'll see on the left-hand side we've got a flow into North Dimension at the top of this slide, mostly of customer funds, 145 million, but some other inflows, not identified as customer funds.

Similarly, at the bottom left-hand corner, you'll see flows into Alameda Research of 539 million customer funds, and other inflows during this period of time of 24.9 million. So some of the 100 million that eventually went to Genesis Digital may have come from customer funds, but you can see that the total of 32.6 million, the other inflows on the top and the 24.9 million, the other inflows at the bottom, does not sum to

- 1 100. And therefore, some must have come out of the customer 2 funds. And given this disproportionate amount of funds that
- came from customers versus other inflows, it's likely that more than the 50-odd million came from customer funds.
- 5 MR. ROOS: Let's put up Government Exhibit 1027B, 6 please.
- 7 | Q. Professor Easton, what does this exhibit show?
- 8 A. So again, if we focus on the right-hand side where we've
- 9 got the expenditure of customer—of funds, on the left-hand
- 10 | side we have the source of those funds. Again, it gets a
- 11 | little more complicated because we've got more bank accounts
- 12 | that—through which the money is traced, but ultimately we'll
- 13 see that there is customer funds totaling 1.1 million plus
- 14 | another .6 million, 1.7 million. And other inflows, just the
- 15 | sum of 9.4 million and 48 million. All of this has to fund
- 16 | 550.9 million. So a large amount of this 550 million must have
- 17 come from customer funds.
- 18 | Q. And I just noticed on this exhibit, it says 550.9. Is that
- 19 | dollars or million?
- 20 A. That is million.
- 21 | Q. And how are you able to conclude that it was customer funds
- 22 | and not these other inflows that funded this payment to Genesis
- 23 | Digital?
- 24 | A. I can conclude that the majority of the payment was
- 25 | customer funds because the total other funds is 9.4 plus

- 48 million, you have 57 million, and the total payment was
  550 million, so it must have come—large portion must have come
- 4 MR. ROOS: We can take this down.

from customer funds.

- 5 Could we please publish Government Exhibit 3005.
- Q. And Professor Easton, directing your attention to the top,
  have you performed any analysis relating to an investment in

  Skybridge Capital in September 2022?
  - A. Yes.

3

9

12

14

- 10 Q. Can you explain what types of materials you've reviewed
  11 that are on the screen.
- 13 Alameda, signed by Sam Bankman-Fried, an agreement to subscribe

to buy, if you like, shares in Skybridge Capital, which is a

So in this case there's a subscription agreement from

- venture capital fund in New York, run by Scaramucci. It's the
- 16 purchase of 30 percent ownership in Skybridge.
- Q. And have you done any type of financial analysis or tracing relating to this investment in Skybridge Capital?
- 19 A. Yes, I have.
- MR. ROOS: Could we please bring up Government
  Exhibit 1028.
- 22 | Q. Professor Easton, what does this show?
- A. Again, we got similar flows—customers on the top left-hand corner to Skybridge Capital on the bottom right. But
- 25 | importantly, we have an addition here. We've now got blue,

- 1 | Alameda Research. This is separate entity Alameda Research,
- 2 | except that, like the entire flow, you'll see it says
- 3 | 45 million on September 7th from Alameda Research, and that
- 4 amount was in turn used on the next day to invest in Skybridge
- 5 | Capital.
- 6 Q. Now what's your conclusion about the source of funds for
- 7 | the Skybridge investment?
- 8 A. So at most—again, if we look from the left-hand side where
- 9 | we've got 18 million in other inflows, 438 in customer funds,
- 10 | the 45 million may have been funded by 18 million of other
- 11 | inflows.
- 12 MR. LISNER: Objection, your Honor.
- 13 | THE COURT: Sorry. What's the objection?
- 14 MR. LISNER: Speculation, to the witness's conclusion
- 15 using the words "may," "may have."
- 16 MR. ROOS: I think he's appropriately saying that it
- 17 | could but it's not all of it.
- 18 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 19 BY MR. ROOS:
- 20 | Q. Go ahead, Professor.
- 21 A. So you can see that other inflows are 18 million, so I
- 22 | think I really mean that 18 million may have come—of the
- 23 | 45 million may have come from other inflows, but it also could
- 24 | have come from customer funds. But at least 45 minus 18 must
- 25 | have come from customer funds.

1 MR. ROOS: We can take that down.

3

4

7

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

in Dave.

Could we please publish Government Exhibit 3006.

- Q. Professor Easton, have you done any analysis relating to an investment in Dave Inc. in March 2022?
- A. Yes. So this was a purchase of a 100 million stake in Dave
  Inc. This again was a Alameda venture, signed by
- 8 Q. Okay. So let's look at the tracing.

Bankman-Fried, and I traced this.

- 9 MR. ROOS: Can we please bring up Government 10 Exhibit 1029.
- 11 | Q. Can you describe what your tracing shows.
  - A. So again, the pattern is the same as before. However, notice now that we have this entity called Paper Bird. Paper Bird is an entirely owned Bankman-Fried entity, and you'll see, if we go from the bank accounts, Alameda Research, the transfer among two of them, Alameda Research 9485 and Alameda Research 4061, these are customer depositories. Out of that customer depository, 105 million was transferred on the—March 22nd to Paper Bird, an entity outside of Alameda and FTX, and then on the next day was used by Paper Bird to purchase the investment
- Q. Were you able to conclude the source of the funds used for the Dave Inc. investment?
  - A. Yes. So if we trace all the way back to customers on the top left-hand corner, we can say—see that we can identify

- 1 | Global Holdings?
- 2 A. Yes, I have.
- 3 Q. And just starting with the materials on the screen, what do
- 4 | these relate to?
- 5 A. This is an agreement between SBF—Sam Bankman-Fried—and K5
- 6 | Global, which is a venture capital firm, to obtain a general
- 7 partnership with K5.
- 8 Q. And then I want to focus on the payment confirmation we
- 9 have on the right side.
- 10 What's the date on this and what's the wire transfer
- 11 | amount?
- 12 A. The date is April 14, 2022, and the wire transfer amount is
- 13 | \$300 million.
- 14 | Q. Okay. And then just the date on the Summary of Terms?
- 15 A. 6th of March 2022.
- 16 | Q. Have you conducted any form of financial analysis relating
- 17 | to the investment in K5 Global Holdings?
- 18 A. Yes, I have.
- MR. ROOS: Let's put up Government Exhibit 1030,
- 20 please.
- 21 | Q. Professor Easton, what does this show?
- 22 A. So again, the flow is—is similar to the flows we've had
- 23 | before. On the bottom right-hand side is the entity K5 in
- 24 | which Sam Bankman-Fried, through Alameda Research Ventures,
- 25 | invested \$300 million. I traced it back through several bank

Professor Easton, what does this show?

23

24

25

Exhibit 1041.

MR. ROOS: All right. Why don't we publish Government

- A. So again, similar to the analyses before, we have a transfer of customer funds through a series of Alameda Research customer depository accounts, through to an Alameda Research external account—in other words, this is an account that does not hold customer funds—of 500 million, and in turn, the bottom right-hand corner, a payment for the investment in
  - Q. And how does the amount of the investment in Anthropic relate to the amount we saw on that Slack message on the last exhibit?
- 11 A. It is that amount.

Anthropic.

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- MR. ROOS: We can take this down.
- Could we please publish Government Exhibit 1032.
- Q. Professor Easton, starting on page 1 of this exhibit, can you explain what the exhibit shows.
  - A. Yes. So this is a summary of a purchase by Alameda Research of Robinhood shares—here, a brokerage account called ED&F Man. Importantly, this exhibit shows that customer funds primarily were used to fund a transfer of 292 million out of customer funds—of customer funds out of customer depositories to Alameda Research to an account that already had 196 million worth of Robinhood shares. In turn, Alameda Research purchased another 292 million of Robinhood shares.
  - Q. And by "Robinhood shares," what are you referring to?
- 25  $\parallel$  A. These are shares in a trading firm called Robinhood.

- 1 | Q. When you say "shares," are they like shares of stock?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. Okay. Let's look at what happens next.
- 4 MR. ROOS: Could we go to page 2 of this exhibit.
- 5 Q. And some additional information has been added to the
- 6 exhibit. What does it depict?
- 7 | A. So in the first flow, the flow that we saw before we added
- 8 | this piece, I was trying to summarize essentially what
- 9 | happened. But in addition, Alameda Research—there was a
- 10 | transfer out of Alameda Research of 491 million to Sam
- 11 | Bankman-Fried and 54.6 million to Gary Wang. This amount was
- 12 | exactly equal to the amount that was used to purchase Robinhood
- 13 | shares.
- 14 MR. ROOS: So let's go to the next page.
- 15 | Q. And what information is now added to the exhibit?
- 16 | A. So this 468—400—\$546 million—I apologize—is—this
- 17 | \$546 million was then transferred to an entity wholly owned by
- 18 | Gary Wang and Sam Bankman-Fried called Emergent Fidelity
- 19 | Technologies. This is the yellow box, identified yellow
- 20 | because now it's a Bankman-Fried entity.
- 21 MR. ROOS: And let's go to the next page.
- 22 | Q. And what does this new information on the exhibit depict?
- 23  $\parallel$  A. Recall that the 546.1 million which went to Gary and Sam
- 24 | Bankman-Fried goes to Emergent Technologies but then was
- 25 | transferred back to Alameda Research, so there's a round-trip

- 1 | transaction, if you like, that makes Alameda Research whole.
- 2 Q. And what then happened, if anything, in response to this
- 3 | \$546.1 million transfer? And could we go to the next page.
- 4 A. So in turn, Robinhood shares were transferred to this
- 5 | brokerage fund in the name of Bankman-Fried and Wang.
- 6 MR. ROOS: And let's go to the last page.
- 7 | Q. So what does this last page now depict?
- 8 A. So the end result of all of those transactions, which I've
- 9 | tried to summarize as clearly as possible—I hope it is
- 10 | clear—is that customer funds ultimately went through Alameda
- 11 Research and did this big round-trip transaction so that they
- 12 | ended up in an account owned by Wang and Bankman-Fried, which
- 13 | then in turn purchased Robinhood shares.
- 14 | Q. And so just to be clear, we've looked at straight green
- 15 | lines previously. What do the sort of dashes indicate here?
- 16 Was this actually the flow of funds?
- 17 | A. No. The dashes are there to indicate all of this—these
- 18 | transactions that occurred in the background.
- 19 MR. ROOS: Okay. We can take this exhibit down.
- 20 | Let's put back up Government Exhibit 1044. And if we
- 21 | go to page 3.
- 22 | Q. Professor Easton, we've talked about a bunch of instances
- 23 of payments or investments in businesses. Have you done any
- 24 | analysis relating to payments for political contributions?
- 25 | A. Yes, I have.

of the campaign finance laws, and your Honor appropriately gave an instruction right before he said we conspired with.

23

24

25

Here, as Ms. Sassoon has argued previously in sidebar and as we briefed, its spending on donations is direct evidence

million to either 11 million in customer funds or .2 million in other inflows.

21

22

23

24

25

The bottom line of all of this is that we have got other inflows of .2 million funding, .5 million contribution to the GMI PAC, and, therefore, at least three-fifths of that

A. Yes, I do.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. It says: Since this is going to our 527 and hence is disclosed, I'm assuming that Nishad would be the better person to have his name on it.

You see then the bottom email of a day later, April 22, 2021, from Nishad Singh: Sounds good. I am happy to pledge the 1 million for MTG operating, agreed on optics.

A. Yes.

Q. Have you analyzed a political donation of an amount of 1 million by Nishad Singh?

A. Yes, I have.

MR. ROOS: Can we please bring up Government Exhibit 1031.

- Q. Professor Easton, can you explain what this exhibit shows.
- A. Similar to the previous exhibit, if we look to the
  right-hand side, we will see the transfer of the \$1 million
  from Nishad Singh's personal bank account to mind the gap.
  That \$1 million on the same day came from an Alameda Research
  bank account; in fact, transferred across two or at least two.
  This is something of a simplification of the transfers among
  the green Alameda Research accounts. The same day 1 point

million came -- was transferred among Alameda accounts.

We then traced that back. And this is a case where it's very difficult, it was impossible to in fact trace the million directly to some customer funds. But what we know is, in the immediate vicinity of that transfer of a million, there was 1.5 billion of customer funds that were transferred into Alameda Research, 4456, and roughly half a billion of other inflows.

So this is a case where we cannot directly trace the money to customer funds, but it seems likely -- I'm purely looking at the numbers here -- it seems likely, given that we have got roughly two billion of customer funds and half a billion of other inflows, that it may have come from customer funds.

MR. ROOS: We can take that down and put up Government

- 1 | Exhibit 1044, page 4.
- 2 | Q. Professor Easton, have you done any analysis concerning the
- 3 use of customer funds for charitable foundations?
- 4 A. Yes, I have.
- 5 | Q. I'm sorry?
- 6 A. Yes, I have.
- 7 MR. ROOS: Can we take this down and publish
- 8 Government Exhibit 3011.
- 9 Q. Now, do you recognize this as a Slack message between or
- 10 | among Delaney Ornelas, Fab, Jen, Lynn, Ryan Salame, and Sam
- 11 | Bankman-Fried?
- 12 | A. Yes, I do.
- 13 | Q. Can you just read the highlighted portions of the message.
- 14 A. From Delaney: Did we request another wire be sent out to
- 15 | Guarding Against Pandemics from Alameda. There is the 20
- 16 | million wire that was sent out from Alameda Silvergate
- 17 | operating account last week on 10/01. Yeah. I got the message
- 18 | from Ryan Salame and, finally, from Ryan Salame, yes. It's a
- 19 | donation.
- 20 | Q. Are you familiar with Guarding Against Pandemics?
- 21 | A. Yes, I am.
- 22  $\parallel$  Q. What is it?
- 23 | A. It's a charitable fund essentially guarding against
- 24 pandemics.
- $25 \parallel Q$ . Have you done any financial tracing relating to just

- 16 17

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

from the right to the left, there was five wires totaling \$20 million from North Dimension to the FTX foundation. That same day, there was a transfer from an Alameda account to another Alameda account, both depositories of customer funds. And on the day before, the customer funds going into Alameda Research totaled 25 million, all customer funds, no other deposits.

- 1 other words, the 20 million donation to FTX foundation must
- 2 have come from customer funds.
- MR. ROOS: Let's bring back Government Exhibit 1044
- 5 Q. Focus on the last category now, have you done any analysis
- 6 | relating to use of customer funds to purchase properties?
- 7 A. Yes, I have.

and go to page 5.

- 8 MR. ROOS: Please publish Government Exhibit 3012.
- 9 Q. Professor Easton, what does this show?
- 10 A. This is a list of real estate purchased in the Bahamas.
- 11 | Q. What exhibit is this information drawn from?
- 12 A. This exhibit is drawn from a spreadsheet that is in fact
- 13 Government Exhibit 3 that indicates all of the purchases. It's
- 14 | a much more detailed spreadsheet than this summary.
- 15 | Q. Where are these properties located?
- 16 A. In the Bahamas.
- 17 Q. Have you done any analysis relating to payments for these
- 18 properties?
- 19 | A. Yes, I have.
- 20 MR. ROOS: Can we please bring up Government Exhibit
- 21 | 1026.
- 22 | Q. Professor Easton, what does this show?
- 23 | A. So this shows a purchase of a number of pieces of real
- 24 | estate in the Bahamas, again going to the right-hand side of
- 25  $\parallel$  this chart, for a total of 96.5 million between December 29 and

March 16, 2022. The payment for those Bahamian properties go from FTX digital markets. A fund, black, owned by FTX does not hold, should not hold customer funds.

On the 29th of December there is a transfer from another FTX account to FTX digital markets. Now, tracing back to the customers, the customer funds were traced from an FTX depository trading account 9964 on the 12th of December -- 24th of December, on that same day, a transfer from another Alameda account, and, in turn, in the preceding days, all of the funds were coming from customers into Alameda 9485. Therefore, the hundred million -- excuse me -- the 96.5 ultimately paid for the properties must have come from customer funds.

MR. ROOS: Why don't we put up next to Government Exhibit 1026 Government Exhibit 3012 and go to page 2.

- Q. Focusing on the Bahamas real estate properties in the orange box, were you able to determine some of the properties that were paid for using those customer funds?
- A. Yes. Some, but not all. There is -- the total on the right-hand side is less than 96.5.
- Q. Just to be clear, what is depicted on page 2 of Government Exhibit 3012?
- A. This is a subset of the properties that were purchased with the \$96.5 million.
  - Q. And then what was the purchase price of the second property?

- 1 | A. \$30 million.
- 2 | Q. That was for the Orchid penthouse?
- $3 \parallel A$ . Yes, it is.
- 4 | Q. Now, did you do any other tracing of payments for
- 5 | properties?
- 6 A. Yes, I did.
- 7 MR. ROOS: Why don't we take these two down and put up 8 Government Exhibit 1025.
- 9 Q. Professor Easton, how, if at all, is this exhibit different 10 from the last one we were looking at?
- 11 A. Now you will notice another purple color hits the diagram,
- 12 | and this is now investor funds. This is investor funds put
- 13 | into FTX, in turn transferred within FTX to FTX digital
- 14 | markets, and then used to purchase Bahamian properties. In
- 15 other words, in this case we have got to invest the funds, to
- 16 which I can trace the purchase of 70.5 million in property in
- 17 | the Bahamas.
- 18 Q. Have you been able to determine some of the properties that
- 19 were paid for by the investor funds?
- 20 | A. Yes, I have.
- 21 MR. ROOS: Why don't we put up next to this Government 22 Exhibit 3012, page 3.
- 23 | Q. What does page 3 of Government Exhibit 3012 show?
- 24 A. It shows some of the properties that were included in the
- 25 purchase of 79.5 million in real estate in the Bahamas.

- MR. ROOS: Just focusing on this last property here,
  can we go to page 4.
- 3 Q. What information has been added to the exhibit?
- 4 A. This is in fact the deed of ownership of the property at
- 5 | Old Fort Bay Real Estate.
- 6 Q. What's the price and who are the owners?
- 7 A. The price was 1.64 million -- 16.4 million, plus value
- 8 | added tax of 1.64 million.
- 9 | Q. Who was it deeded to?
- 10 A. The deed is to Alan Joseph Bankman and Barbara Helen Fried.
- 11 MR. ROOS: We can take those two down.
- 12 Let put back up Government Exhibit 1004.
- 13 | Q. Professor Easton, directing your attention to this period
- 14  $\parallel$  in June of 2022, what was the difference between the amount of
- 15 | fiat and the amount reflected in FTX's database?
- 16 | A. \$9 billion.
- 17 | Q. Have you analyzed what that \$9 billion was spent on?
- 18 A. Yes, I have.
- 19 MR. ROOS: We can take this down and can we please put
- 20 | up Government Exhibit 1045.
- 21 | Q. Starting on page 1 of Government Exhibit 1045, can you show
- 22 | what -- can you explain what this represents.
- 23 | A. This is a whole pie of the amount of money that should be
- 24 | available to cover customer funds, \$11.3 billion.
- 25  $\parallel$  Q. This is as of that June date?

- A. This is as of June 30, 2022.
- 2 MR. ROOS: Let's go to page 2.
- 3  $\parallel$  Q. What information has been added to the exhibit?
- 4 A. This is the slice of the pie that still exists in Alameda
- 5 | bank accounts. This is the amount that is in fact present to
- 6 cover the funds, the 11.6 billion. The whole chart should be
- 7 green, but it's not.
- 8 MR. ROOS: Let's put them side by side, Government
- 9 | Exhibit 1004 and Government Exhibit 1045, page 2.
- 10  $\parallel$  Q. How, if at all, do the colors on Government Exhibit 1004
- 11 | relate to the colors on Government Exhibit 1045?
- 12 A. So the black piece of the pie, so to speak, represents the
- 13 | black line or the total liability for customer funds. The
- 14 green slice out of the pie represents the green line. And this
- 15 pie is a diagram as at June 30, the time when the peak
- 16 | liability, 11.3, exists and the associated customer deposits,
- 17 | 2.3.

- 18 | Q. Have you been able to fill in the rest of the pie?
- 19 A. Much of it, yes.
- 20 MR. ROOS: Let's go back to just looking at Government
- 21 Exhibit 1045 and go to page 3.
- 22 | Q. Professor Easton, what does this show?
- 23 A. So this shows the pieces of this pie that had been used for
- 24 | various purposes. I will point out just some of them. But
- 25 some of these things are familiar.

1 Genesis, we traced 50 and 650 million largely back to 2 customers. Similarly, the investment in K5 we have talked 3 about. Similarly, the investment in Anthropic, the investment in Dave, the investment in Modulo. The Modulo analysis was for 4 5 a related time period, so there's only 50 of the 450 taken out 6 of this pie. Other ventures we haven't traced I did trace, 7 another 1.4 billion. Paper Bird we have seen, 430 million. 8 Then we have another big chunk, brokerage and outflows, almost 9 a billion, 970.7 million. We have real estate, some of which 10 we have analyzed. We have other expenses, 305 million. And we 11 have got the two charitable donations that I've indicated.

Q. Just a few follow-up questions on this.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The outflows to insiders, what's that a reference to?

A. The outflows to insiders are the payments to, how can I say, the inner circle of FTX and Alameda.

- Q. Now, the expenses category, it's not something we have traced in the past slides you've gone through. Give us an example what type of thing falls into that category.
- A. This is going to be payment for equipment, rent on property, payment of salaries, those kinds of things.
- Q. And then you have this large other category. Just give us an example or two of things that would fall within the other category.
  - A. I really haven't analyzed that. There was a limit to the resources we have to analyze this material, but a lot of this

crypto wallet with my name on it, but then it is transferred to a sweep wallet within FTX that includes my deposit and everyone else's.

- 22 I'm sorry. You said sweep wallet?
- 23 Α. Sweep, yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24 Just to be clear, is a sweep wallet one of these online 25 wallets?

- $1 \parallel A$ . Yes, it is.
- 2 | Q. How about the process for withdrawals.
- 3 MR. ROOS: Can we go to page 2.
- $4 \parallel Q$ . Can you explain this.
- 5 A. Then the withdrawal bypasses the individual account. It
- 6 has already been mingled into this sweep wallet. I would
- 7 | withdraw my 100 Bitcoins back out. It gets transferred from
- 8 | the sweep wallet back to my personal wallet.
- 9 Q. Earlier in your testimony you described analysis comparing
- 10 | the balances within FTX's ledger or database to the amount of
- 11 | fiat deposits in bank accounts. Have you done a similar
- 12 | exercise comparing the database to what was in these crypto
- 13 | wallets?
- 14 | A. Yes, I have.
- MR. ROOS: Why don't we bring up Government Exhibit
- 16 | 1051.
- 17 MR. LISNER: Hold on. Objection, your Honor. This
- 18 | relates to the exhibit that we talked about yesterday. I
- 19 | believe your Honor reserved at the time.
- 20 | THE COURT: Overruled.
- 21 | Q. Professor Easton, why don't you orient us. What are we
- 22 | looking at here?
- 23 A. So this is similar to the chart that I put up for fiat
- 24 | currency, but now we are looking at crypto. We have got much
- 25 | finer data which we can get off the Blockchain. So you will

see the black line. The black line represents the customer deposits of crypto on the FTX exchange. So this is the amount of crypto that should have been held in FTX.com in order to cover yours and my deposits.

The orange line or yellow line, however it is appearing, represents the actual balances that were in the FTX crypto wallets. In short, you can see that there is a huge deficiency. There is a big difference. There is much less money in the crypto wallets than there should have been.

- Q. Just to be clear, what is the yellow -- what sort of balances does the yellow line represent?
- A. This is the balance of -- in fact, this whole diagram reflects just -- the nine biggest cryptocurrencies are the ones that we investigated. It shows the amount of those nine currencies that were in fact held on the Blockchain.
- Q. If you included more currencies, like every currency, would that change the size of the difference over time?
- A. It could. It can only increase the difference. Inasmuch as if there is another cryptocurrency, which we didn't analyze, the best-case scenario would be that the black line and the orange line coincide.
- Q. Just to then focus on an example of the difference, you have got a marker here for October 31, 2022. What was the difference between the amount listed on FTX.com's customer balances and what was actually in the crypto wallets?

- A. The difference was \$11.3 billion.
- 2 Q. What was the difference, as opposed to the top?
- 3 A. I'm sorry. The amount that should have been in the wallets
- 4 was 11.4 billion. The amount that was in the wallets was 1.1.,
- 5  $\parallel$  so there is a difference of 10.3 billion.
- Q. Let me ask you, have you analyzed accounts within the FTX database -- sorry. Withdrawn.
- 8 Let me start by just asking you about the difference 9 and what caused it. OK?
- 10 | A. OK.

- 11 Q. Have you done any analysis relating to accounts with a
- 12 | feature called allow negative?
- 13 A. Yes, I have.
- MR. ROOS: Why don't we take down this exhibit and why
  don't we look at Government Exhibit 3014.
- 16 Q. Professor Easton, can you explain what Government Exhibit
  17 3014 shows.
- 18 A. Yes. First of all, this comes out of the big FTX database.
- 19 | And what it represents, going from left to right, the blocks
- 20 | that I have highlighted, ID number is an Alameda account, which
- 21 was a much-used Alameda account. You can see that it's indeed
- 22 | identified as an Alameda account under user name
- 23 info@AlamedaResearch.com.
- If we go to the next -- fifth column, you will see
- 25 | borrowed. This says that there was a borrowing limit on this

- Q. Have you done any analysis relating to the number of accounts that had this allow-negative box checked?
- $6 \parallel A. \quad Yes, I have.$

2

3

4

- 7 MR. ROOS: Can we please bring up Government Exhibit 8 1001.
- 9 Q. Professor Eaton, what does Government Exhibit 1001 depict?
- 10 A. This shows the accounts that were permitted to go into the
- 11 | red, so to speak, go to negative. You will see account 9, the
- 12 | account that I have just referred to, and a whole bunch of
- 13 other Alameda Research accounts. You will also note that no
- 14 other customer accounts outside of Alameda were permitted to go
- 15 negative.
- 16 Q. Now, have you reviewed the balances of these allow negative
- 17 | accounts over time?
- 18 | A. Yes, I have.
- 19 | Q. The Alameda ones?
- 20 | A. Yes, I have.
- 21 | Q. And before I ask you about those balances over time, I just
- 22 want to ask you a few questions about how you got the balance
- 23 | data. OK.
- MR. ROOS: Why don't we publish Government Exhibit
- 25 | 3015.

- 1 | Q. Professor Easton, what does this exhibit show?
- 2 A. This is, again, from the FTX database. In this particular
- 3 | case you will see, on the 2nd of November 2022, going from left
- 4 | to right, account ID 9 and a balance which is not particularly
- 5 | readable. But if we go to the right-hand side, where we will
- 6 see a lot of detailed data, you will see that it might be
- 7 | useful -- can we expand the green section.
- 8 MR. ROOS: Can we zoom in on the four red boxes in the
- 9 green section.
- 10 A. You will see dollars, U.S. dollars, are coded 1. You will
- 11 see that there is a negative balance of 67 million, almost 68
- 12 | million U.S. dollars in this account. It is allowed to go
- 13 | negative and it has gone negative to the tune of 67 million.
- 14 | Q. Let me just add some little commas there and ask you what
- 15 | the number is again.
- 16 A. Have I missed a comma? I'm sorry. 676 million, not 67
- 17 | million.
- 18 | Q. What do the three others show?
- 19 A. Then the code for Bitcoin is 43, so there is 69,111
- 20 | Bitcoins borrowed by Alameda. This is a negative to the tune
- 21 | of 65,000 Bitcoins. Tether, the stablecoin, is coded 44, and
- 22 | again, you see a large negative number, 157 million. Finally,
- 23 | 45 is Ether, and you will see 605,000 Ethers negative.
- 24 | Q. Have you used data like this to determine balances over
- 25 | time?

- 1 A. Yes, I have.
- 2 | Q. When you did that analysis, which currencies did you focus
- 3 on?
- 4 A. I focused on these four: Dollars, Bitcoin, Tether, and
- 5 | Ether.
- 6 | Q. Why did you focus on those currencies?
- 7 A. Because they are the most used.
- 8 | Q. Were you able to ascertain Alameda's balances in those
- 9 | currencies over time?
- 10 | A. Yes, I was.
- 11 MR. ROOS: Would you please publish Government Exhibit
- 12 | 1002.
- 13 | Q. Professor Easton, what does Government Exhibit 1002 show?
- 14 A. Again, let me just orient the graph. So the X axis is the
- 15 same going from January '21 through November 11, 2022. The
- 16 | vertical axis is still in billions of dollars, but notice it's
- 17 going more negative as we go -- less negative as we go up the
- 18 chart. So the X axis is in fact at the top of the chart,
- 19 | indicating that at all times over this period the balance in
- 20 | these allow negative accounts was indeed negative going up to
- 21 | around about 12 billion at the end of the period.
- 22 | Q. When you say going up, you mean going up to a negative
- 23 | number?
- 24 | A. Exactly. Increasing to a more negative number of 12
- 25 | billion.

- 1 | Q. Have you analyzed the spending out of these allow negative 2 | accounts?
  - A. Yes, I have.

- 4 MR. ROOS: You can take this down, and can we please publish Government Exhibit 3016.
- Q. Professor Easton, at the top of the screen it says:
  Buyback of FTX stock from Binance.
- 8 Have you analyzed a transaction relating to a buyback 9 of FTX's stock from Binance?
- 10 | A. Yes, I have.
- 11 Q. Can you describe the materials on the screen that you have 12 reviewed.
- A. The material on the left-hand side shows that share

  transfer agreement of the repurchase of Binance stock back to

  FTX. On the right-hand side you will see the purchase amount

  and you will see -- again, I have to get the commas right. So

  we have 1 billion BNB, we have 500 million BUSD, which is the

  Bitcoin stablecoin, and in fact you will see also some FTT
- 19 indicated on the bottom of the left-hand side.
- 20  $\parallel$  Q. Who is the email from?
- 21 A. The email is from Samuel Bankman-Fried.
- 22 | Q. Have you analyzed the source of funds used to buy back
- 23 | FTX's stock from Binance?
- 24 | A. Yes, I have.
- 25 MR. ROOS: Can we please bring up Government Exhibit

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

24

- 18
- 21
- 22 Now, the red box that's appeared, focusing on the source of 23 the customer funds, what was that?
  - The account was account number 9, the account we have seen a few times already.

- 1 | Q. That was the account with the allow negative?
- 2 A. That's right.
- 3 Q. And what was the balance in that account on the day of the
- 4 | Binance buyout?
- 5 A. On the day of the first part of that buyout, it was
- 6 negative 1.8 billion, meaning that a payment out of that
- 7 | account must have made it more negative.
- 8 THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I felt as if I should
- 9 elaborate on my answer.
- 10 | THE COURT: Go ahead.
- 11 | THE WITNESS: So the tracing is for every type of
- 12 cryptocurrency that was used to pay, so the tracing is at each
- 13 | individual crypto level.
- 14 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 15 | Q. Professor Easton, you're referring to Judge Kaplan's
- 16 | question about -- focusing on Bitcoin, you're elaborating that
- 17 | it's for all the cryptocurrencies.
- 18 A. Yes. Individually considered.
- 19 | Q. In addition to the spending on the Binance buyout, have you
- 20 | analyzed any other spending using cryptocurrency?
- 21 A. Yes, I have.
- 22 | Q. Just give us a category. What type of spending?
- 23 A. Spending to pay off third-party lenders.
- 24 | Q. So to repay loans?
- 25  $\parallel$  A. To repay loans, yes.

- 1 | Q. Before we talk about that, let's talk about -- sorry.
- 2 | Whose lenders?
- $3 \parallel A$ . Lenders to FTX.
- 4 | 0. To FTX?
- 5 A. To Alameda. I beg your pardon.
- Q. So let's talk about just the borrowing and lending before we talk about the use of funds.
- 8 MR. ROOS: Can we please publish Government Exhibit 9 1013.
- 10 Q. Focusing on the first page of 1013, what does this exhibit show?
- 12 A. So Alameda also borrowed funds from other -- from third-party lenders outside of the firm.
- 14 | Q. Like the Genesis you showed us in the beginning?
- 15 A. For example.
- 16 | Q. What does this diagram or chart show?
- 17 A. This is, again, a daily chart. The Y axis is, again,
- 18 | billions. You can see the amount borrowed increased over time
- 19 through the end of November 2021 to a max of 15.4 billion and
- 20 | then declined over time.
- 21 MR. ROOS: Let's go to page 2 to add a marker.
- 22 | Q. Do you see that this marker that was added on May 12, 2022,
- 23 | it says Terra Luna (Luna collapse)?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. What happened to cryptocurrency prices after that Terra

- 1 | Luna collapse on May 12?
- 2 A. Terra Luna -- the Terra Luna collapse introduced a lot of
- 3 uncertainty to the market and crypto prices collapsed.
- 4 | Q. Have you analyzed what happened with loans after this
- 5 | period?
- 6 A. Yes, I have.
- 7 MR. ROOS: Let's go to the next page.
- 8 | Q. What additional information has been added to the exhibit?
- 9 A. This is simply saying that, in the month of May 2022, there
- 10 were a total of three payments of \$1.3 billion to third-party
- 11 | lenders.
- 12 | Q. So Alameda repaid \$1.3 billion in May. What about in June?
- MR. ROOS: Can we go to the next page.
- 14 A. So in June, a further 2.9 billion.
- 15 Q. What about July.
- MR. ROOS: Can we go to the next page.
- 17 A. July, almost three-quarters of a billion repayments.
- 18 | Q. During this period, have you been able to determine whether
- 19 | any new loans were made to Alameda?
- 20 | A. Yes, I have.
- 21 MR. ROOS: Why don't we go to the next page.
- 22 A. New loans were 1.7 billion during this period.
- 23 | Q. And over what period were those new loans extended?
- 24  $\parallel$  A. From the beginning of May through the end of FTX, 1111.
- 25  $\parallel$  Q. We have added one more marker here at the end, and what

- 1 does that refer to?
- A. So this just points out that at the end of FTX, or at least on 1111, the loans still unrepaid were \$1.3 billion.
- 4 MR. ROOS: Just to zoom in on this, can we look at Government Exhibit 1014.
- 6 Q. Professor Easton, what does this show?
- 7 A. This is the zoom in, so it shows the repayments in May, it
- 8 shows the repayments in June, the repayments in July, and --
- 9 | excuse me -- in July, and, finally, an amount outstanding of
- 10 | 1.3 billion. And during this time period we had new loans of
- 11  $\parallel$  1.7 billion.
- 12 | Q. Focusing on this period that we zoomed in on that's in
- 13 gray, does the red line continue to show the total amount of
- 14 | loans during those periods?
- 15 A. Yes, it does.
- 16 | Q. Now, have you analyzed the source of funds used to repay
- 17 | these third-party lenders at Alameda?
- 18 A. Yes, I have.
- 19 MR. ROOS: Let's bring up Government Exhibit 1017A.
- 20  $\parallel$  Q. Professor Easton, what does Government Exhibit 1017A show?
- 21 A. This shows that of the repayment to the crypto lender
- 22 Genesis of 3.5 billion, 1.7 of that came out of customer crypto
- 23  $\parallel$  funds on the FTX exchange.
- 24 | Q. Which account was used to make that payment?
- 25  $\parallel$  A. Again, it was account 9, which had a negative balance of

- 1 | 7.2 billion at the time that the first payment was made.
- 2 MR. ROOS: Can we please put up Government Exhibit 3 1017B.
- 4 Q. Professor Easton, what does Government Exhibit 1017B show?
- 5 A. This is a similar exhibit. It shows a payment to BlockFi,
- 6 the third-party lender. The entire one point million payment
- 7 came out of customer funds.
- 8 Q. Just focusing again on the account and the balance, what
  9 was that?
- 10 A. It came out of account number 9, which had a negative
- 11 | balance at the time that the billion was paid. In other words,
- 12 | the negative balance went to 2 billion from 1 billion negative.
- 13 | Q. Professor Easton, have you done a similar type of tracing
- 14 | and analysis for other lenders?
- 15 A. Yes, I have, many of them.
- 16 Q. Many of them. OK.
- To keep us moving, what I am going to do is, we will
- 18 | just put up the exhibit, we will ask you to name the lender and
- 19 then describe what the source of funds were rather than walk
- 20 you through the whole thing. OK?
- 21 A. OK.
- MR. ROOS: Do why don't we put up Government Exhibit
- 23 | 1017C.
- 24 A. This describes the lender Voyager. You can see that the
- 25 majority of the funds to pay Voyager came from customers.

- 1 MR. ROOS: Can we put up 1016D.
- 2 A. Similarly to Celsius, roughly four-fifths of the payment
- 3 came from customer funds.
- 4 MR. ROOS: How about 1017E.
- 5 A. This is repayment to Abra all from customer funds.
- 6 MR. ROOS: 1017F, please.
- 7 A. To Maple, all from customer funds.
- 8 MR. ROOS: We will add another to this slide, 1017H.
- 9 A. To Anchorage, all from customer funds.
- MR. ROOS: Can we please add 1017J.
- 11 A. To Nexo, all from customer funds.
- MR. ROOS: Can we please put up 1017G.
- 13 A. Payment to TrueFi was roughly two-thirds from customer
- 14 | funds.
- MR. ROOS: Can we please publish 1017I.
- 16 A. Payment to Ledn. Roughly, again, two-thirds from customer 17 funds.
- MR. ROOS: Finally, 1017K.
- 19 A. Payment to BitGo, only about a fifth from customer funds,
- 20 | but still some customer funds.
- 21 | Q. For each of these repayments, which Alameda account did the
- 22 | funds come out of?
- 23 A. Every one of them came out of account 9.
- 24  $\parallel$  Q. When those repayments began, was the account positive or
- 25 | negative?

- 1 A. It was negative.
- 2 MR. ROOS: Let's put up Government Exhibit 1018.
- 3 | Q. Professor Easton, what does Government Exhibit 1018 show?
- 4 | A. So this pie chart again is -- demonstrates the entire
- 5 | analyses of all of the repayments to third-party lenders. And
- 6 | to summarize 68 percent of the payments to third-party lenders,
- 7 | repayments to third-party lenders came from customer funds.
- 8 | Only 32 percent came from other funds.
- 9 Q. What was the amount of customer funds that made up that 68 10 percent?
- 11 | A. \$4.5 billion.
- MR. ROOS: Let's put back up Government Exhibit 1002,
- 13 | please, which was Alameda's negative accounts. Can we zoom in
- 14  $\parallel$  on this period of May 2022 to the end of the calendar.
- 15 | Q. Professor Easton, can you describe what happened to
- 16 | Alameda's balances in its allow-negative accounts over the
- 17 | period it was repaying those letters?
- 18 A. It started at roughly 6-- 6 billion negative, increased a
- 19 | little bit, in other words, became slightly less negative, but
- 20 | then you can see continued to become quite negative, to the
- 21 | tune of eventually something like 12 billion negative.
- 22 MR. ROOS: If we can zoom out.
- 23 | Q. Professor Easton, you've been focusing on these accounts
- 24 | with this allow-negative feature enabled. Have you also done
- 25 | analysis of all of the Alameda accounts?

- 1 A. Yes, I have.
- 2 MR. ROOS: Let's put up first Government Exhibit 1005.
- 3 | Q. What does this show?
- 4 A. This is all accounts now, not just those that were allow
- 5 negative that did go negative. There were some that did not
- 6 | have allow negative and, obviously, therefore, they didn't go
- 7 | negative. So you will see that this chart, which is almost
- 8 | always in the red, so to speak, that's very negative. It's
- 9 | slightly less negative than the previous chart.
- 10 | Q. Just to call out a few points in time in 2022, first, what
- 11 was the balance on May 12, 2022 across all the accounts?
- 12 A. A negative \$12.6 billion.
- 13 | Q. What about on June 14, 2022?
- 14 A. Still very negative, \$10.9 billion.
- 15 Q. What about on November 1, 2022?
- 16 A. Negative \$9.2 billion.
- 17 | Q. Just to be clear, this includes all of Alameda's accounts
- 18 on FTX?
- 19 A. This is all of Alameda bank accounts, yes, on FTX.
- 20 | Q. And all currencies?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. Let me ask you, have you analyzed whether Alameda's
- 23 | negative balance here can be explained through borrowing in the
- 24 | spot-margin program?
- 25 | A. Yes, I can.

MR. ROOS: Let's put up Government Exhibit, for starters, 1011.

am going to compare it to the actual borrowing.

- Q. Professor Easton, can you explain what this chart shows.
- A. First of all, the red line is the same red line as we were seeing before, so this is a negative balance. I chose to put it as a positive chart because we are going to compare it -- I

So the Alameda balance reached the peak that we have seen before of 12.6 billion. The actual Alameda borrowing on this spot-margin program was far less than the amount needed to cover this negative balance. So you can see from the bluish line, bluish green line, that the amount was way, way less than the amount that would have had to be borrowed to cover this negative balance. For example, on May 12, the deficiency or the deficit, the negative amount, the difference between what we would have needed and what we did have is 10.8 billion.

- Q. What's your conclusion as to whether Alameda's negative balance can be explained by its own borrowing in the spot-margin program?
- A. It cannot be explained.
- 21 Q. Let's say Alameda borrowed every dollar in every crypto 22 available in the spot-margin program.
- Could that explain its negative balance?
- 24 A. No, it could not.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

MR. ROOS: Let's look at another exhibit, Government

## AFTERNOON SESSION 1 2 1:49 p.m. 3 (In open court; jury not present) 4 MS. SASSOON: Your Honor? 5 THE COURT: Yes. MS. SASSOON: Two very quick things. For a later 6 7 witness this afternoon, the defense has a few objections to 8 exhibits that perhaps we could take up at the beginning or end 9 of the afternoon break. 10 THE COURT: Okay. 11 MS. SASSOON: And second, in an absent-minded moment, I walked into the wrong room, which was the jurors' room. I 12 13 walked right out but not before they all laughed at me. And I 14 told the defense, but I also wanted to put it on the record. 15 THE COURT: Mr. Cohen, do you want me to do anything 16 else about this? 17 MR. COHEN: No. We just asked that it be put on the 18 record, your Honor. Okay. That's fine. 19 THE COURT: 20 And before we call the jury, I just want to put on the 21 record, with respect to the objection to Exhibit 1051 this 22 morning, it was overruled because I regard the disclosure as having been adequate and in any case find no substantial 23 24 prejudice to the defense.

All right. Let's get the jury.

- 1 | in evidence. It's one of Professor Easton's demonstratives.
- 2 | Q. And this is the Modulo demonstrative, correct?
- $3 \parallel A$ . Yes, it is.
- 4 | Q. And here what you're indicating, if I have this right, all
- 5 | the green boxes down the middle indicate that—or on the left,
- 6 sorry, those green boxes indicate that 100 percent of the funds
- 7 | came from customer assets, true?
- 8 A. True.
- 9 MR. LISNER: Can we jump to the second to last page,
- 10 || Brian.
- 11 | Q. Okay. And here, I'm looking at the green I guess in the
- 12 one, two, three—in the fifth column. These are the customers
- 13 | Circle Internet Financial and TrueCoin, right?
- 14 A. No, they're not the customers. What they are is an
- 15 | intermediary that transfers customer stablecoins into dollars.
- 16 | Q. I believe you testified earlier that all the funds came
- 17 | from customer assets. Where on this—in this analysis are the
- 18 | customers?
- 19 A. The customers' funds are transferred—and I traced this—to
- 20 | Circle Internet, and there at Circle Internet were changed from
- 21 | a stablecoin to dollars, but it was customer funds that are in
- 22 | the background of this transaction, and I traced them back to
- 23 | the original bank statements.
- 24 | Q. And how did you trace those, sir?
- 25  $\parallel$  A. Through the FTX database and bank statements.

MR. LISNER: It's neither. It's 3500 material.

24

25

exhibit?

- 1 Okay. Let's move to GX 1018.
- Q. I want to ask you some questions about your analysis of repayments by Alameda made to Genesis—well, made to
- Does this chart reflect your conclusions about the amount of customer funds versus other assets that were repaid to third-party lenders in the period May through November?
  - A. Yes, it does.

third-party lenders.

- Q. Okay. And one of those lenders was Genesis?
- 10 A. Yes.

4

8

- MR. LISNER: Okay. Can we pull up just quickly,
  Brian, GX 1017A.
- Q. Okay. And that's your analysis from this morning about repayments to Genesis?
- 15 A. It's the summary, yes.
- 16 Q. Okay. And these payments, do they reflect only principal repayments or do these payments include any collateral
- 18 | repayments as well?
- 19 A. They reflect crypto-by-crypto payments for loans from 20 Genesis.
- 21 Q. Okay. So there wouldn't be any collateral postings based 22 on this payment.
- 23 A. I don't understand the question. Sorry.
- Q. The 3.5 billion that was paid to Genesis, is your testimony that this entire amount was made of principal repayment?

- 1 | A. I'm not certain of that.
- 2 | O. You're not certain of that?
- 3 A. It is payment of an amount owing to the third party,
- 4 | Genesis. Whether it's payment of owed interest as well as
- 5 | principal, I'm not sure as I sit here now.
- 6 Q. Okay. Well, let's see if we can show you some things to
- 7 | refresh your recollection. Is that fair?
- 8 | A. Yeah.
- 9 MR. LISNER: Brian, for the witness only, could we
- 10 | please bring up—this is in the backup to GX 1017 and 18.
- 11 | There's a spreadsheet labeled 3574-424D.
- 12 | THE COURT: Which will be marked Defendant's
- 13 | Exhibit 3574-424D.
- 14 | MR. LISNER: Yes. Thank you, your Honor.
- And can you go to the bottom, the tab for returned
- 16 | loans. And you see column E, there's a return date? If you
- 17 | could sort by return date. Just sort the oldest to newest, and
- 18 | then let's jump to May 11th.
- 19 BY MR. LISNER:
- 20  $\parallel$  Q. Okay. What I want to do is point out three transactions
- 21 | here. And for May 11th, there is a 90,000 Ethereum payment
- 22 | made on May 11th. Do you see that?
- 23 MR. ROOS: Objection.
- 24  $\parallel$  THE COURT: What is the objection?
- 25 MR. ROOS: I believe up here it says he was trying to

	NCalseB1A122-cr-00673-LAK Document 370 Filed 12\$12/23 Page 107 of 215 1783
1	refresh his recollection, so the form of the question.
2	THE COURT: Certainly. Sustained.
3	Q. Do you recall, Professor Easton, specific payments made by
4	Alameda to Genesis on or about May 11th, 12th, and 13th?
5	A. I recall seeing this document at some point, and yes, I can
6	see those two payments.
7	Q. Okay. And does it refresh your recollection whether a
8	90,000 Ethereum payment was made on May 11th?
9	A. That's what it says here, yes.
10	Q. 155,000—
11	MR. ROOS: Objection to form.
12	THE COURT: Yes. The answer is stricken. The
13	question is: Does it refresh your recollection? The answer is
14	not, I'm reading this document back to you. That's
15	inappropriate. And let's not do that.
16	MR. LISNER: Understood, your Honor.
17	BY MR. LISNER:
18	Q. Okay. Can I ask you to keep in mind, Professor Easton, the
19	payments made on May 11th, May 12th, and May 13th in Ethereum.
20	THE COURT: He's been asked to have that in mind. Do
21	you have another question?
22	MR. LISNER: I do. I'm going to go between
23	spreadsheets that were used.
24	THE COURT: Ask your questions, please.
25	MR LISNER. Understood

- 21
- 22 were repaid for principal repayments?
- 23 A. I don't recall seeing that on the document that I just 24 looked at.
- 25 THE COURT: The question is whether, in your memory,

### M2 as BAN 2 - cr-00673-LAK Documbers 370 + Filed 12/23 Page 109 of 215 1785 1 you remember that or you don't remember that. He's not asking 2 you what you may have read on the screen. 3 THE WITNESS: I apologize. 4 No, I don't recall. 5 Okay. Would it refresh your recollection to look at Genesis's loan repayment summary? 6 7 Α. It may. 8 MR. LISNER: Brian, could you please bring up 1235 to 9 the same place we were, GX 1235. 10 Does this refresh your recollection whether those Ethereum 11 payments we discussed were repaid as loan principal? 12 A. No, it does not. 13 MR. LISNER: Okay. You could take that down. 14 And do you recall whether those loan repayments—let me 15 rephrase. 16 Do you recall whether those payments we discussed were 17 paid to Genesis as collateral for borrowings by Alameda? 18 A. No. 19 Okay. Would it refresh your recollection to look at a 20 collateral summary produced by Genesis? 21 A. Possibly. 22 MR. LISNER: Brian, could you please bring up GX 1238.

discussed were collateral postings on Genesis by Alameda?

Okay. Can you scroll down to rows 185 through 187.

Does this refresh your recollection whether the payments we

23

24

- 1 A. No, it does not.
- 2 Q. Does not.
- MR. LISNER: Okay. You can take that down.
- 4 | Q. All right. Let's switch topics.
- 5 You testified on direct that Alameda used customer
- 6 | funds to repay third-party lenders between May and July 2022,
- 7 | correct?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 | Q. And just kind of rounding out the numbers, I believe you
- 10 | testified in May it was approximately 1.3 billion. Sound
- 11 | right?
- 12 A. Sounds right.
- 13 Q. 2.9 billion in June?
- 14 A. Sounds right.
- 15 | Q. And approximately 750 million in July.
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. Okay. And I think you testified on direct that if a
- 18 payment was made, you would expect the account balance,
- 19 | Alameda's account balance to become more negative.
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 MR. LISNER: Okay. Can we bring up GX 1002, which is
- 22 | in evidence.
- 23 | Q. So focusing here on the period we just talked about, May
- 24 | through approximately July—let me rephrase.
- In your direct, Mr. Roos asked you to look at the

# M29154914321-cr-00673-LAK Docum Fearst 370 +Filed 12512/23 Page 111 of 215 1787 downward-trending line from about May until the end of the year, May 2022 to I guess November 2022. Do you recall that? Α. I do. Okay. But if we focus on the period only between approximately May—and I'll do my best to draw a line—and what appears to be approximately June, July, the line is sloping upwards, correct? That was my testimony, yes. And during this period, approximately, we just talked—we just mentioned—rephrase. During this period, approximately 5 billion in loans were repaid? A. Yes. And if there was a payment, you testified you'd expect the numbers to get more negative, but here it's doing the opposite, true? A. Yes. MR. LISNER: Okay. You could take that down, Brian. And if you could put up GX 1005, which is also in evidence. Q. Same question. If I focus on the period between—I'll do my best to draw the line—May 2022 through approximately

- 19 20 21 22 June-July, the line is sloping upwards as well, correct?
- 23 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

24 And if there were payments remade from the Alameda account, 25 you'd expect that to go down, true?

- 1 A. No. Incorrect.
- 2 | Q. Incorrect. Why is that incorrect?
- 3 | A. The payment in and of itself would make the line go down,
- 4 | but other things are happening during this time period.
- 5 | Q. Okay. What else is happening?
- 6 A. Changes in the—
- 7 MR. LISNER: You could take this down, Brian.
- 8 A. There were new loans for that time period, for example.
- 9 Q. There were new loans? Do you know when those were taken
- 10 | out?
- 11  $\parallel$  A. Not specifically as I sit here now, no.
- 12 | Q. So new loans from third-party lenders. So you're meaning
- 13 | that more funds would flow into Alameda and it would go up
- 14 | instead of down.
- 15 A. Right.
- 16 | Q. Right. You didn't testify to any of that in your direct,
- 17 | did you?
- 18 MR. ROOS: Objection. Misstates—
- 19 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 20 | Q. Okay. A clarification on 1002 versus 1005. 2?
- 21 MR. LISNER: Can you bring up, Brian, side by side,
- $22 \parallel 1002 \text{ and } 1005.$
- 23 | Q. Now you testified on direct that these were different, and
- 24 | if I recall correct, 1002 is a limited set of accounts for a
- 25 | limited set of currencies, correct?

- 1 | A. Correct.
- 2 | Q. And the limited accounts were Alameda's "Allow Negative"
- 3 | accounts, true?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. And for currencies, the US dollar, USDT, which is a
- 6 stablecoin, Bitcoin, and Ethereum, correct?
- $7 \parallel A$ . Correct.
- 8 | Q. And then 1005 was meant to reflect all Alameda balances in
- 9 | all currencies.
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 | Q. Does that include fiat as well?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. Okay. So if we look at the period that is approximately
- 14 | April 2nd—April 1st, April 2nd, 2022—again, I'll do my best
- 15 | to draw it—but on 1002, in April 2022, it looks like it's
- 16 about here—that appears to be approximately 7½ billion? I'll
- 17 | try to draw the line. Forgive the shaky finger. Does that
- 18 | appear to be approximately negative 7½ billion?
- 19 | A. Yes.
- 20  $\parallel$  Q. Okay. And if we compare that with the line on GX 1005,
- 21 same date, April 1, 2022, it's zero, correct?
- 22 | A. Right.
- 23  $\parallel$  Q. So your summaries of the Alameda account balance on the
- 24  $\parallel$  same day is different by \$7 billion depending on the variables
- 25 you chose to include.

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. Let's talk about some of those variables.
- 3 MR. LISNER: Brian, you could take those down.
- 4 | Q. For the specific accounts, how did you select the "Allow
- 5 | Negative" accounts?
- 6 A. All of those that were allowed to turn negative.
- 7 Q. And how did you identify them as associated with Alameda?
- 8 A. I—I knew which accounts were Alameda and which accounts
- 9 were not.
- 10 | Q. Are there any accounts—
- MR. LISNER: Well, let's bring up 1001. I think
- 12 | that's the account listings.
- 13 Q. Is it your understanding that all of these accounts are
- 14 associated with the Alameda Research user name—user ID?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Okay. And if there are accounts here that weren't
- 17 associated with the Alameda account ID, they shouldn't have
- 18 | been included, true?
- 19 | A. True.
- MR. LISNER: Okay. I'll ask this to set up the next
- 21 | question, your Honor.
- 22 | Q. Do you recall whether any accounts are included on this
- 23 | 1001 exhibit in the Alameda account column that are not
- 24 | associated with the Alameda user ID?
- 25  $\parallel$  A. I do not recall such an account.

MR. LISNER: It's not. So the request is—

MR. LISNER: Okay. Let's put that back up, Brian.

- 1 BY MR. LISNER:
- 2 Q. Can you tell us what this document is, Professor Easton.
- 3 A. This is a document from which I originally determined the
- 4 accounts with the "Allow Negative" flag.
- Q. Does this appear to be a document maintained in the ordinary course by FTX?
- 7 MR. ROOS: Objection, foundation.
- 8 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 9 MR. LISNER: All right. We'll move on. We'll move 10 on.
- Sticking with loan repayments, Brian, if you could please bring up 1017J, GX 1017J, which is in evidence.
- 13 BY MR. LISNER:
- Q. I think you testified earlier that the 1017 series reflects
  the loan repayments made by Alameda from its account 9 to
- 16 | third-party lenders; is that right?
- 17 | A. That is correct.
- 18 Q. Okay. And looking at this one, J, you testified that at
- 19 | the bottom came from account 9 and then there was the
- 20 | balance—I'll circle here—in account 9 on the day before the
- 21 | transfer was made, or right before the transfer was made.
- 22  $\parallel$  A. The first transfer, yes.
- 23 Q. Okay. So then after the transfer was made this number
- 24 | should become more negative, true?
- 25  $\parallel$  A. Immediately, at that instant, yes.

### M2alseB1A22-cr-00673-LAK Document 370 Filed 12\$12/23 Page 118 of 215 1794

- 1 | Q. Okay. So here we're looking at May 1 in GX 1017J, and it
- 2 | reflects account 9 had a balance of 7.3 billion, correct?
- 3 | A. Correct.
- 4 Q. Okay. And I'm going to go through these quickly just to
- 5 get through the numbers.
- 6 MR. LISNER: Could we get to 1017C, Brian.
- 7 | Q. This is eight days later, on May 9th. And there's an
- 8 | account balance of minus 6.42 billion, correct?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 | Q. And that's approximately 900 million less negative than
- 11 | May 1st.
- 12 A. Yup.
- 13 | Q. And during that period significant loan repayments were
- 14 | made out of the account?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Okay. And then we could skip a few days just to cut to the
- 17 | chase.
- 18 MR. LISNER: If we could pull up 1017G.
- 19 | Q. And this reflects that on June 17th, minus 5 billion or
- 20 | 500 million remains in the account, correct?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 | Q. That's almost a \$7 billion increase in the balance of
- 23 | account No. 9 when you testified significant loan payments were
- 24 made.
- 25  $\parallel$  A. This is balance in crypto. Crypto balances can fluctuate

### M2954914322-cr-00673-LAK Docum Fearst 5370 +File of 125 12/23 Page 119 of 215 1795 all the time. MR. LISNER: Could I have my question read back, please. THE COURT: Yes. (Record read) Can you answer that yes or no, sir? Yes. Α. Ο. Thank you. MR. LISNER: Okay. You can take that down. Let's switch gears to fiat. MR. LISNER: If you could bring up GX 1004, Brian. Okay. And I think you testified—correct me if I'm wrong—on direct that here what we have on the top is the fiat@ balance in the black line-I'm sure everyone here has heard more about the fiat@ account than they'd like to—and then the bottom, the bank balance such that the difference represents the gap of what Alameda would have spent. A. Correct. Okay. So the bigger the gap means there's more spending by Alameda, and the smaller gap means that there would be less. A. Correct. One clarifying question: How was it that this line is negative in the beginning period, in January 2021? It appears that it's only a little bit, but the fiat line is below zero.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This was associated with, as best I understand it, where

### NCAISEB1A-1222-cr-00673-LAK Docum Feant 1370 +File d 125 12/23 Page 120 of 215 1796

- 1 | there were deposits of crypto and of fiat, and the exchange
- 2 between crypto and fiat result in a slight positive difference.
- 3 | Q. Got it. Got it. Okay. So same concept that we discussed
- 4 | earlier. If I look at the period between May, which is where
- 5 your maximum point is, 11.3 billion, thereafter, in May, June,
- 6 | and July, it looks like the gap either remains the same or
- 7 decreases, correct?
- 8 A. Correct.
  - Q. And that's the period when 5 billion in loans was paid.
- 10 A. Correct.

- 11 | Q. Okay. Now at the bottom here—let me clear this line—the
- 12 green line in the legend indicates that this is the balance in
- 13 | Alameda, North Dimension, and FTX bank accounts. Is it your
- 14 | understanding that FTX, over different periods of time, relied
- 15 on different accounts?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. And is it fair to say that the amount of fiat kept in the
- 18 | FTX account should not appear as a liability for Alameda?
- 19 A. I don't know what FTX account you're referring to, sir.
- 20 | Q. The one reflected on your graph here. So FTX bank
- 21 accounts.
- 22 A. Would you repeat the question, please.
- 23 | Q. Is it fair to say that fiat customer deposits received in
- 24 | FTX bank accounts should not be treated as a liability of
- 25 | Alameda's?

- 1 A. I'm not sure I understand the question, but if fiat
- 2 deposits have been included in an FTX bank account that
- 3 | includes customer deposits, they should be included as a
- 4 | liability.
- $5 \parallel 0$ . For FTX.
- 6 A. For FTX.
- $7 \parallel Q$ . Not Alameda.
- 8 A. Not Alameda.
- 9 MR. LISNER: Okay. If you could take that down.
- Brian, if you could put up GX 1005.
- 11 | Q. This we talked about briefly earlier. This reflects all of
- 12 | Alameda's balances across all currencies, including fiat@
- 13 | liability, true?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 | Q. And is it your understanding that this graph includes the
- 16 | fiat line that we just looked at across all bank accounts?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. Okay. But if that includes an FTX liability and not an
- 19 | Alameda liability, fair to say the FTX portion should not be
- 20 | included in this 1005?
- 21 A. This is the balance in the accounts that accepted customer
- 22 deposits.
- 23  $\parallel$  Q. So this includes the full fiat@ subaccount entry.
- 24 | A. Yes, it does.
- 25  $\parallel$  Q. Okay. Does the subaccount entry for fiat@ include customer

- 1 deposits regardless if they were made in an Alameda account, a
- 2 | North Dimension account, and an FTX account?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. Okay. Should the portion in the FTX account be included in
- 5 | the fiat@ liability attributed to Alameda?
- 6 | A. No.
- 7 | Q. Okay. Did you exclude that in this graph?
- 8 | A. No, I did not.
- 9 Q. Okay. You're, by the way, not offering any opinion on what
- 10 | information or aspects of the fiat account that
- 11 Mr. Bankman-Fried did or did not see over the years.
- 12 MR. ROOS: Objection.
- 13 | THE COURT: Sustained.
- 14 MR. LISNER: Okay. Let's take that down, Brian.
- 15 | Q. Let's stick with the fiat for a moment.
- MR. LISNER: If you could pull up GX 3003.
- 17 | Q. This was your demonstrative about the basic mechanics of
- 18 how customers would deposit fiat onto FTX, correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 | Q. Okay. And here you list the numbers. I know they're for
- 21 | illustrative purposes, but it's listed in USD, so the customer
- 22 | account in FTX here has a positive 100 US dollars, correct?
- 23 A. Correct.
- $24 \parallel Q$ . Okay. Do you have an understanding that credits on FTX,
- 25 | credits on a customer account on FTX don't reflect actual cash

1 (At the sidebar)

MR. ROOS: The objection is that the document he was about to announce the name of is the FTX terms of service.

It's not a basis for his opinion. It's not in evidence. I can't imagine how this witness can lay a foundation for it, particularly given—I'm not saying it won't come in ever, but there are hearsay questions about the circumstances in which it can be offered—is it for its truth, is it for the effect on the listener—and ultimately if it is shown to him, it's a legal document, and we all know the expert witness is the last person who should be opining on legal obligations, given his role in the case.

MR. LISNER: Your Honor, I don't think the foundation objection is an issue because there's a stipulation on authenticity as to this document. This is a document that the expert reviewed, and we think it's admissible as a nonhearsay document. It's a contract. It's a verbal act. It's in the materials he relied on. I want to ask him about how—

THE COURT: How do you know it's in the materials he relied on?

MR. LISNER: The government produced 3500 material that the expert relied on, and this is one of them.

THE COURT: Relied on or saw?

MR. LISNER: So I could ask. I don't know. We have not had access to the witness before.

MR. ROOS: I guess if he wants to show it without saying what the document is and ask him if it's something he relied on in reaching his opinion, then if the answer is yes, he can ask another question; if the answer is no, that's the end of it.

THE COURT: You can do that much anyway.

(Continued on next page)

### M29154914321-cr-00673-LAK Docum Fearst 5370 +File of 125 12/23 Page 126 of 215 1802 1 (In open court) 2 MR. LISNER: Okay. Thank you, your Honor. 3 Could you bring up for the witness only, Brian, 4 DX 1022. 5 BY MR. LISNER: 6 Q. Just yes or no, two questions: Did you—do you recognize 7 this document? 8 A. No. 9 MR. LISNER: Okay. You can take that down, Brian. 10 Do you agree with the statement that the net asset 11 valuation model is commonly applied when valuing privately held 12 companies? 13 A. Yes. 14 And your presentation this morning relied exclusively on 15 Alameda balances held on the FTX platform, true? 16 MR. ROOS: Objection. Misstates testimony. 17 THE COURT: Well, he can say. 18 MR. LISNER: It's a question. 19 Yes. Α. 20 Okay. Do you understand that Alameda traded on multiple 21 exchanges in addition to FTX? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Held assets on those exchanges? 24 Α. Excuse me? 25 That it held assets on those exchanges?

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. And Alameda held significant assets in venture capital?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And Alameda was required to post collateral for the loans 5 it received?
- 6 MR. ROOS: Objection, foundation.
- 7 | THE COURT: Sustained.
- 8 Q. You didn't perform a net asset value analysis in this case,
  9 did you?
- 10 | A. No, I did not.
- MR. LISNER: Okay. If we could bring up GX 1039,
- 12 which is in evidence.
- Q. I believe you testified earlier this morning that this reflects a flow of funds in connection with the donation made
- 15 by Ryan Salame, true?
- 16 | A. True.
- 17 Q. Now if you look in the upper left here, there's two sources
- 18 of funds, customer funds from customer bank accounts and other
- 19 | inflows, and there's a date window for each of them, May 23rd
- 20 | to May 25th. How did you pick what window to use for your
- 21 | analysis?
- 22 A. So I went back in time, recognizing that the data is daily
- 23 data, not time-stamped data, until I found sufficient funds to
- 24 cover 5.5 million in this example.
- 25 MR. LISNER: Okay. And if you bring up—take that

## M29154914322-cr-00673-LAK Docum Fearst 5370 +File of 125 12/23 Page 128 of 215 1804 down and bring up GX 1041. Which is another example of your flow chart analysis. Here there is only one date window here. Why are there different windows across different analyses? A. Because in this example, unlike a previous example where I had to go back two days to find sufficient funds, I found sufficient funds to cover 540 in just one day. Q. Would your conclusion about which assets were used be affected if you used longer or shorter date windows? Absolutely not, and I've checked that out. Okay. You didn't present any of that analysis today? No. Α. You understand that FTX had significant positive revenues, true? MR. ROOS: Objection, foundation. MR. LISNER: I'll rephrase. I'll rephrase. Do you have an understanding of whether FTX generated positive revenue? I haven't analyzed the generation of revenue by FTX. In the documents you reviewed, have you seen any evidence that FTX generated positive revenue? MR. ROOS: Objection. THE COURT: Ground? MR. ROOS: He just said he didn't know, he didn't

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

under—he didn't analyze this at all.

19

THE COURT: Thank you.

Any redirect?

MR. ROOS: Just briefly.

Please put up Government Exhibit 1017A.

25

21

22

23

- 1 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 BY MR. ROOS:
- 3 | Q. Professor Easton, you were asked some questions about
- 4 | whether this was loan principal or loan interest. Regardless
- 5 of whether it was loan principal or loan interest, what did you
- 6 determine was the source of the funds that paid Genesis?
- 7 MR. LISNER: Objection. Mischaracterizes the
- 8 | question.
- 9 THE COURT: I didn't hear him characterizing it.
- 10 Well, no, sustained. Rephrase it.
- 11 | Q. Do you recall being asked about repayment of loan
- 12 | principal, just yes or no?
- 13 | A. Yes, I do.
- 14 | Q. Do you remember being asked questions about repayment of
- 15 | loan interest?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. Irrespective of whether loan interest or loan principal was
- 18 | repaid, what was the source of the funds?
- 19 | A. The source of funds for either payment was either customer
- 20 | flows or other inflows. That was my focus.
- 21 | Q. Now I want to just ask you—you were shown several—several
- 22 points in times and several negative balances. Do you remember
- 23 | that?
- 24 | A. I do.
- 25  $\parallel$  Q. And I think you testified about the balances, the negative

### M20154914:1221-cr-00673-LAK Docum Fearst 1370 +Filed 1120/1521/23 Page 131 of 215 1807 1 balance account going up and down over time; is that right? 2 Yes. Α. 3 And at some points I think Mr. Lisner asked you about where 4 the number wasn't as negative; is that right? 5 That is correct. Α. 6 Okay. Now throughout all those instances Mr. Lisner asked 7 you about, was the number still negative? 8 A. Yes, it was. 9 And what are some of the reasons why the balance can change 10 besides the repayment of loans? 11 A. Well, in crypto it's very obvious that cryptocurrencies 12 fluctuate a great deal up and down. 13 Q. And besides the cryptocurrency fluctuations, were there other inflows and outflows of these accounts at the times 14 looked at? 15 16 Yes. In the last few months there was 1.7 billion of 17 inflows. 18 MR. ROOS: No further questions. 19 THE COURT: Thank you. 20 Any recross? 21 MR. LISNER: Briefly. 22 If you could bring up 1017 again, Brian.

1017B.

RECROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. LISNER:

23

24

25

That one's fine. A is fine.

Q. You were just asked about this. I want to understand your methodology on this. If Alameda deposited—let me set up a hypothetical.

If Alameda set up—deposited one Bitcoin before this payment was made and then immediately transferred it to Genesis from its account, so it took a off-chain Bitcoin, took a Bitcoin off the platform, put it into account No. 9, and then used account No. 9 to pay Genesis, how would that Bitcoin be reflected in your analysis as coming from a customer fund or an other inflow?

- MR. ROOS: Objection, to the form and the scope.
- 12 THE COURT: I'll allow it.
- A. So I think the easiest way or most straightforward way to
  answer your question is to say what I would have done if the
  one Bitcoin resulted in a positive balance in Alameda account
- 9. The payment would be seen as an other flow. If the balance was negative, that would mean it would be dipping into customer
- 18 | funds.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- 19 Q. Just let me make sure I understand the test. The test is
- 20 | if when the payments were made the full amount came from a
- 21 | negative balance; is that right?
- 22 A. I'm not sure what the question is. I'm sorry.
- 23 | Q. I'm trying to make sure I understand the test you applied
- 24 | for determining whether customer funds were used to pay a
- 25 | third-party lender. And you said—correct me if I'm wrong—if

### M201548122-cr-00673-LAK Docum@end \$176 File d 12€/12/23 Page 135 of 215 1811

- 1 | Q. How long have you worked at Google?
- 2 A. A little over three years now.
- 3 | Q. What are your responsibilities as a records custodian?
- 4 A. I respond to legal processes from government agencies, such
- 5 | as subpoenas, search warrants, and court orders.
- 6 Q. You said you respond to legal process. What do you do in
- 7 | response to legal process?
- 8 A. I take a search of a particular record that they are
- 9 | looking for, make a copy of that, and return that to the
- 10 | requesting government agency.
- 11 | Q. Have you ever testified in a court before?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. As part of your duties, do you sometimes cross-check Google
- 14 | systems to confirm that certain documents were in fact provided
- 15 by Google?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. In your role as a custodian of records, have you become
- 18 | familiar with records maintained by Google?
- 19 | A. Yes.
- 20  $\parallel$  Q. Did you learn what kind of services Google offers its
- 21 | customers?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. What are those?
- 24 | A. Internet services such as email, file sharing.
- 25  $\parallel$  Q. Are you familiar with the product called Google Workspace?

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. What is Google Workspace?
- 3 A. Google Workspace is a collection of products for our
- 4 | enterprise customers, such as Google Docs, Google Sheets.
- 5 | Q. You mentioned Google Docs. What is Google Docs?
- 6 A. It's a word-editing software similar to like Microsoft
- 7 Word.
- 8 | Q. Can users share documents in Google Docs with others?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. Can a user allow others to view a Google Doc?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. Can a user allow others to edit a Google Doc?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. You also mentioned Google Sheets. What is Google Sheets?
- 15 A. It's a data-entry software, such as like Microsoft Excel.
- 16 | Q. And can a user share a Google Sheet with another user?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Can a user allow others to view a Google Sheet?
- 19 | A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. Can a user allow others to edit a Google Sheet?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. In the course of your duties as a records custodian, have
- 23 | you learned the type of information Google stores about its
- 24 users?
- 25 | A. Yes.

### M201548122-cr-00673-LAK Document \$176 File ti 12 \$12/23 Page 137 of 215 1813

- 1 | Q. What are those types of information?
- 2 A. That would be like subscriber information, metadata, and
- 3 user content.
- 4 | Q. You mentioned metadata. What is metadata?
- 5 A. Metadata would be like transactional information associated
- 6 with a particular file.
- $7 \parallel Q$ . So what type of information is that?
- 8 A. That might be things such as who created the document,
- 9 where it was uploaded from, where it was edited.
- 10 Q. Does a user manually enter metadata or is it generated
- 11 | automatically?
- 12 | A. It would be generated automatically.
- 13 | Q. Does Google then store the metadata?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. Taking a Google Doc as an example, does Google generate
- 16 | metadata when a Google Doc is first created?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. What type of metadata does Google generate?
- 19  $\parallel$  A. That would be such as where it was created from, who
- 20 created it.
- 21 | Q. How frequently thereafter would the metadata on such a
- 22 Google document upload, update?
- 23  $\parallel$  A. At the time or shortly thereafter.
- 24  $\parallel$  Q. At the time or shortly thereafter what?
- 25  $\parallel$  A. That document was created or edited.

- 1 | Q. So each time it's edited, the metadata is expanded?
- 2 MR. EVERDELL: Objection.
- 3 | THE COURT: Sustained. Form.
- 4 | Q. How frequently does the metadata update?
- 5 A. At the time of and shortly thereafter.
- 6 Q. Does Google systems save new information each time a record
- 7 | is accessed or changed?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. In your role as a records custodian, do you know of whether
- 10 | this process of saving information on an ongoing basis occurs
- 11 | automatically, at or soon after the time the metadata is
- 12 | changed?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 MR. EVERDELL: Objection.
- 15 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 16 | Q. Does Google then store that metadata?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. Does Google maintain that metadata in the course of its
- 19 | regularly conducted activity?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21  $\parallel$  Q. Is that regularly conducted activity a regular practice of
- 22 | Google's?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. Under what circumstances does Google provide a customer's
- 25 | Google Docs or sheets to a U.S. Government agency?

- 1 A. Pursuant to a search warrant.
- 2 | Q. When Google produces a Google Doc in response to a search
- 3 | warrant, what file format does the Google Doc come in?
- 4 A. It would typically come in a doc format, such as like a
- 5 DOCX.
- 6 | Q. Do you understand, is that accessible through Microsoft
- 7 | Word?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. How about when Google produces a Google Sheet, what format
- 10 does that come in?
- 11 A. That would come in an Excel format or a CSV file.
- 12  $\parallel$  Q. When Google produces documents in response to a search
- 13 warrant, does it also provide the metadata associated with
- 14 | those documents?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. When Google provides the metadata, what file format does
- 17 | the metadata come in?
- 18 A. Typically, comes in a JSON file.
- 19 | Q. What is a JSON file?
- 20 A. It's an extension for a particular file type, typically
- 21 contains the metadata on there.
- $22 \parallel Q$ . How is that information provided in response to legal
- 23 process?
- 24 | A. It's provided alongside the requested content that's being
- 25 | sought.

- MR. RAYMOND: Your Honor, may I approach to give the witness a thumb drive?
- THE COURT: Yes.
- 4 Q. Mr. Gaddis, do you recognize that thumb drive?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. How do you recognize it?
- 7 A. I saw the files being added to that thumb drive.
- 8 Q. Does it have your initials on it?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. Did you have an opportunity to review the contents of the
- 11 | thumb drive prior to it being shown to you in court today?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. What is on the thumb drive?
- 14 A. Records pursuant to a search warrant that we received.
- 15 | Q. Have you reviewed whether the documents on the thumb drive
- 16 | have been marked with government exhibit numbers?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. Have you reviewed whether those are Government Exhibit
- 19 | numbers 5M, 5F, 18M, 18F, 19M, 19F, 28M, 28F, 42M, 42F, 44M,
- 20 | 44F, 50M, 50F, 325M, 325F, and 340M, 340F?
- 21 MR. EVERDELL: Objection, your Honor.
- 22 | THE COURT: What is the objection?
- 23 MR. EVERDELL: It's leading.
- 24 THE COURT: Do you want him to remember the numbers
- 25 | without being prompted? Is that the point?

- MR. EVERDELL: Your Honor, just simply lodging the objection.
- 3 THE COURT: I understand. Overruled.
- 4 MR. RAYMOND: Thank you, your Honor.
- Q. Mr. Gaddis, do you know whether the documents contained on this thumb drive were the ones that Google in fact maintained and produced to the government?
- 8 A. Yes.

1

2

- Q. How do you know that?
- 10 A. I ran a hash script on the original zip folders that these 11 filed were provided from.
- Q. Did you review whether the files actually uploaded to that thumb drive came from the zip folder you mentioned?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. And did they?
- 16 A. Yes, they did.
- Q. And were the files on the thumb drive created -- was the
  data of the thumb drive entered or transmitted by the user and
  were those records kept in the course of Google's ordinary
  course?
- 21 MR. EVERDELL: Objection. Form.
- 22 | THE COURT: Sustained. Form.
- MR. RAYMOND: Your Honor, my colleague just passed me
  a note. I don't think the witness answered the question about
  the list of government exhibits I asked him about. Is it

#### M20 1820 Page 144 of 215 1820 File d 192 12/23 Page 144 of 215 1820

- 1 | Q. You said it's unique. Can a single person have multiple
- 2 | Gaia IDs?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. How could that happen?
- 5 A. It could be through various emails. So one email may have
- 6 one Gaia ID and then another email would have a completely
- 7 different one.
- 8 | Q. For example, if a person had multiple email accounts, would
- 9 | they potentially have multiple Gaia IDs?
- 10 A. Correct, yes.
- 11 | Q. Are Gaia IDs typically associated with email addresses?
- 12 A. Typically, yes.
- MR. RAYMOND: Mr. Bianco, if you go to control F in
- 14 | 44M for the word Sam. I think it's row 3043.
- 15 | Q. Mr. Gaddis, do you see it says: Email address:
- 16 | Sam@alameda research.com?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. You see above there is a Gaia ID ending in 8013?
- 19 | A. Yes.
- 20 MR. RAYMOND: Now, Mr. Bianco, can you scroll all the
- 21 | way to the top and look for a Gaia ID ending in 8013, which is
- 22 | in row 1636. Scroll up a little.
- 23 | Q. Mr. Gaddis, is that the same Gaia ID?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25  $\parallel$  Q. A couple of rows above that, what does it say under time?

# NAMED AND 2-cr-00673-LAK Document 376 Filed 1241 Page 145 of 215 1821 A. It says 2022 June 19 at 11:49:37 UTC. Q. Mr. Gaddis, just to confirm, the information you have read in this exhibit was created at or near the time it was generated by Google systems. A. Yes. MR. EVERDELL: Sorry, your Honor. Did the witness say 11:49? Oh, yes. Just translating UTC time. I understand. MR. RAYMOND: No further questions. THE COURT: Thank you.

Cross-examination.

MR. EVERDELL: Just one moment, your Honor.

THE COURT: Please proceed.

MR. EVERDELL: Thank you, your Honor.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

16 BY MR. EVERDELL:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Gaddis.

You were testifying about the JSON files that you were given, correct?

- A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. And we were looking at one of the JSON files in particular
- 22 | that pertained to -- I believe GX-44M was the JSON file, is
- 23  $\parallel$  that right?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. I think you were shown -- let me step back.

The metadata includes certain fields, is that right?

- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. And those fields can have meaning, depending on what the
- 4 | field is called, right?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 | Q. There is a field that is called view, is that right?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- 8 0. What does the view field mean?
- 9 A. I believe that means that it's viewed.
- 10 | Q. You believe it means it's viewed?
- 11 A. Yes. I'm not super familiar with all the intricacies in
- 12 | all of the fields.
- 13 | Q. You are not familiar with what the fields mean?
- 14 A. No, not all of the fields.
- 15 | Q. What is your responsibility at Google, Mr. Gaddis?
- 16 A. I respond to legal process such as search warrants,
- 17 | subpoenas, and court orders.
- 18 | Q. How much experience do you have with metadata on certain
- 19 | files?
- 20  $\parallel$  A. Not particularly any good amount of experience with it.
- 21 | Q. So you are not someone sort of steeped in the tech of
- 22 | metadata, so to speak?
- 23 | A. No, I'm not.
- MR. EVERDELL: Give me one moment.
- 25 No further questions, your Honor.

THE COURT: This is a joke.

MS. SASSOON: Your Honor, the exhibits for the next witness, do you want to take it up at the end of the break?

THE COURT: No. We will do it now.

We had a witness this morning, who knew absolutely nothing and spent the time saying I had nothing to do with any of that, read documents that are public records. And this afternoon we fly somebody in from Texas to put in documents about what he knows nothing or next to nothing that are obviously stipulatable.

We have 18 people devoting time here to this case and it's really a crime, that part of it. Obviously, it's a serious case. Obviously, there are all kinds of important things to be determined. But lawyers are supposed to do a little better than this. I am talking to both sides.

What are the evidence problems here?

MS. SASSOON: Just to preview, we are going to be calling a law enforcement witness and admitting certain Twitter exhibits through that witness, and I do intend to have the witness explain certain things about the tweets and to read some tweets. I don't intend to belabor the point. We are going to finish this witness and another by the end of the day. We only have two more witnesses tomorrow, Can Sun and Boroujerdi, and we expect to end well before the end of the day tomorrow.

With respect to this witness, I handed you up several exhibits that the defense is objecting to. These are direct messages between the defendant and another Twitter user @KelseyTuoc. I think the defense has some objections they wanted to raise.

THE COURT: Let's hear them.

MR. EVERDELL: Yes, your Honor. This is Government Exhibits 803A through D. We both object on both relevance and 403 grounds.

Your Honor, if you look at these statements, these are all messages with this person Kelsey Tuoc with the defendant, they are all from a date of November 16, 2022. This is after the alleged conspiracies charged in the indictment were over.

And so it appears that the relevance of these documents, if any, are going to a state of mind at the time the statements were made, but that isn't relevant or probative of his guilt at the time that the conspiracy was going on.

In addition, your Honor, we think that the probative value is substantially outweighed by the prejudicial effect because these are communications he is making with someone who he knew for a decade, who he considered a friend, and these are just off-the-cuff musings about past events that we think were devoid of context that could be substantially misconstrued by the jury. We think that the first is really simply the defendant talking about how history may be written by the

has prejudicial effect, and it's all after time period.

the regulators. This is an after-the-fact statement maybe about his mental state on November 16, but it's not probative of his mental state prior to November 16 and it could be taken out of context, and the jury could use it inappropriately against my client.

THE COURT: Let me hear from the government, please.

MS. SASSOON: Your Honor, these are admissions by the party opponent. And just to take one obvious example, courts routinely admit postarrest statements which are after the fact where a defendant is making admissions.

The fact here that, as defense counsel said, he's talking to someone he trusted in what he thought was a private context is highly probative that what he's saying here is truthful.

The government has offered evidence of the defendant's public representations. This shows the falsity of many of those representations. The fact that it's prejudicial is because it's inculpatory, but that does not make it unfairly prejudicial.

And keep in mind too that although this is after the collapse of FTX, contemporaneous with these messages, the defendant is going out and making public statements saying, I did nothing wrong, and here he is simultaneously in these private communications showing a very different face in private. It's highly probative of his guilt and it's

	NZaIS€B1AN22-cr-00673-LAK Document 370 Filed 12/12/23 Page 155 of 215 1831
1	admissible under the hearsay rules.
2	THE COURT: What was the date of the bankruptcy?
3	MS. SASSOON: The 11th.
4	THE COURT: I'll let you know when I come back
5	downstairs.
6	We have one other one, don't we, 881?
7	MR. EVERDELL: Very quickly, your Honor, and this is
8	related.
9	Government's 881 is the opening page of Kelsey Tuoc
10	and it's a hearsay document, your Honor.
11	MS. SASSOON: Your Honor, we are seeking to admit this
12	for its effect on the listener. The final exhibit relating to
13	Kelsey Tuoc is a message from the defendant saying: I thought
14	that was all off the record, can you please take it down. And
15	that's because Kelsey Piper, the journalist associated with
16	this account, published an article that quoted many of these
17	messages.
18	THE COURT: I don't see that in the exhibit.
19	MS. SASSOON: It says: Kelsey Piper, senior writer at
20	Vox's Future Perfect.
21	The defendant was corresponding with this person on
22	Twitter and, therefore, would be aware that she was a writer at
23	Vox and it puts in context his statement to her saying, I
24	thought this was off the record. You can't understand his
25	message without appreciating that he understood her to be a

	<b>PARTICIPATION</b> 1832 Page 156 of 215 1832
1	reporter.
2	THE COURT: Where do I find the statement, I thought
3	this was off the record?
4	MS. SASSOON: I believe that's 803D.
5	Just one more comment on the messages about Nishad
6	being guilty. Obviously, the message sent by Kelsey Tuoc in
7	the form of a question, the defendant adopts it when he writes
8	back: Yeah.
9	THE COURT: I'll let you know.
10	(Recess)
11	THE COURT: I have reviewed 803A, B, C, D, and I think
12	there is a fourth, 881.
13	MR. EVERDELL: Yes, your Honor.
14	THE COURT: And the defense objections are overruled.
15	Let me say a minute about what happened a moment ago.
16	Ms. Katz was called by the government this morning.
17	It was perfectly obvious, and she made it clear in response to
18	almost every question, whether it was responsive or not, that
19	she knew nothing with anything that happened here, nothing
20	whatever, and she was called essentially as a manikin to read
21	to the jury documents in evidence and the transcripts of
22	videotapes in evidence, and that was a waste of her time, it
23	was a waste of the jury's time, and it was a waste of everybody
24	else's time. I expect different behavior from counsel.
25	And then we are treated to this Mr. Gaddis being

1	3500 material whether there were things that could be disputed.
2	THE COURT: Did you ask? Did you ask? I expect
3	lawyers to talk to each other. Frankly, earlier in this case
4	that was going fine, and I appreciate that, and I expressed
5	that thought earlier more than once.
6	But the idea of hauling this guy up here from Texas
7	and treating the jury to whether those exhibit numbers could be
8	read three times or four times, or whatever it was, was just
9	unreasonable. Obviously, there is some fault on both sides,
LO	now that I hear you, but these people are giving up weeks of
L1	their lives, and I care about that, and in the abstract I'm
L2	sure you all do too. But it's a hard-fought case. But you got
L3	to get over that and that goes for both sides.
L4	MR. EVERDELL: Understood, your Honor.
L5	THE COURT: We are not going to do this again.
L6	Let's get to the next witness.
L7	MS. SASSOON: The government calls Shamel Medrano.
L8	(Jury present)
L9	THE COURT: Defendant and the jurors
20	SHAMEL MEDRANO,
21	called as a witness by the government,
22	having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
23	THE COURT: The defendant and the jurors all are
24	present, as they have been throughout.
25	Ms. Sassoon, you may proceed.
I	ı

NCaste 1822-cr-00673-LAK Document 370 Filed 12/12/23 Page 158 of 215 1834

- 1 MS. SASSOON: Yes, your Honor.
- The government calls Shamel Medrano.
- 3 | DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 4 BY MS. SASSOON:
- 5 | Q. Mr. Medrano, where do you work?
- 6 A. I work for the U.S. Attorney's Office in the Southern
- 7 District of New York.
- 8 | Q. What is your title at the U.S. Attorney's Office?
- 9 A. I am an investigative analyst.
- 10 | Q. What are some of your job responsibilities as an
- 11 | investigative analyst?
- 12 | A. I assist Assistant United States Attorneys and special
- 13 | agents during the course of their investigations.
- 14 | Q. What type of things do you do to assist?
- 15 A. I do social media analysis, I do forensic analysis for
- 16 | phones, I review business records. Varies on the nature of the
- 17 | case.
- 18 | Q. Are you familiar with Twitter?
- 19 | A. Yes, I am.
- 20 | Q. And do any of your job responsibilities involve Twitter?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. What is Twitter?
- 23 | A. Twitter is a social media platform.
- 24 | Q. And with respect to this case, have you reviewed certain
- 25 | tweets provided to you by prosecutors in this case?

- 1 A. Yes, I have.
- $2 \parallel Q$ . What is a tweet?
- A. A tweet is basically a post on Twitter. It's a way for a
- 4 | user on Twitter to interact with other users.
- 5 | Q. And have you reviewed certain Twitter direct messages
- 6 provided to you by prosecutors in this case?
- 7 A. Yes, I have.
- 8 | Q. What are Twitter direct messages?
- 9 A. Direct messages are private messages between multiple
- 10 parties, one or more party.
- 11 | Q. And is that on Twitter?
- 12 A. Yes, it is, it's on Twitter.
- 13 | Q. And apart from your review of certain tweets and direct
- 14 messages, did the prosecutors provide you any other documents?
- 15 A. Yes. They provided me, I believe, business records.
- 16 Q. Apart from that, what role, if any, did you have in this
- 17 | case?
- 18 A. Initially, I loaded some Twitter search warrant returns
- 19 | into one of our investigative databases, and I also created
- 20 some maps. Other than that, nothing else.
- 21 MS. SASSOON: At this time, pursuant to stipulation
- 22 | 2001 between the parties, the government offers Government
- 23 | Exhibits 770, 783, 788, 794, 796, 803A through D, 823, 853,
- 24 | 861, 865, 870, and 881.
- 25 MR. EVERDELL: Subject to your Honor's prior ruling.

# 1 THE COURT: I am just trying to catch up with you. 2 MS. SASSOON: I'll try not to read the list four more 3 times, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: We all appreciate that. 5 They are all received. (Government Exhibits 770, 783, 788, 794, 796, 803A-D, 6 7 823, 853, 861, 865, 870, and 881 received in evidence) 8 MS. SASSOON: Mr. Bianco can you publish Government Exhibit 823. 9 10 Mr. Medrano, is this a tweet? 11 Yes, it is. Α. 12 And do you see at the top it says: @FTX official has 13 closed 900M at a valuation of 18B? 14 Yes. Α. 15 Do you say how @FTX official is in blue, as are a number of 16 other names beneath it: @Sequoia, @Paradigm, @Ribbit. 17 Do you see that? 18 Α. Yes. 19 What is the significance of the at names that are in blue? 20 The at is a mention, sort of a tag, so it's notifying these 21 other users of this activity that is taking place on the 22 platform. 23 Were those other user names on Twitter?

Do you see at the bottom where it says: 77 reposts, 70

Correct, other user names on Twitter.

24

- 1 | quotes, 906 likes, 32 bookmarks?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3  $\parallel$  Q. What is a repost?
- 4 A. A repost is when a Twitter user reposts an actual post that
- 5 | is in front of us, so 77 other Twitter users reposted this
- 6 | tweet.
- 7 | O. What are likes?
- 8 A. Likes are users who have liked a tweet or post.
- 9 MS. SASSOON: Mr. Bianco, if you could now publish
- 10 | Government Exhibit 853.
- 11 | Q. Mr. Medrano, do you see where it says @SBF FTX at the
- 12 | bottom. FTX's venture investing is concentrated under FTX's
- 13 | ventures. That's different from Alameda's ventures.
- 14 What's the date of that tweet?
- 15 A. August 25, 2022.
- MS. SASSOON: Mr. Bianco, if you could pull up
- 17 Government Exhibit 871, the third page.
- 18 | Q. Mr. Medrano, do you see where it says FTX ventures and @SBF
- 19 | FTX are acquiring a stake in @Skybridge?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21  $\parallel$  Q. Who posted this tweet originally?
- 22 A. @Scaramucci.
- 23  $\parallel$  Q. What is the user name associated with that account?
- 24 A. Anthony Scaramucci.
- 25  $\parallel$  Q. You see above that there is a symbol and it says SBF

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

At this time the government offers four exhibits, pursuant to stipulation 2003, and that's Government Exhibit 80, which is a document titled name change, Clifton Bay Investments LLC, dated September 8, 2022; Government Exhibit 84, which is a document titled written resolutions of the director's change of company name, dated September 25, 2022; Government Exhibit 86 titled updated certificate of incorporation Clifton Bay Investments, dated October 6, 2022; and Government Exhibit 192

# NCaSYB1AN22-cr-00673-LAK DocumNerd 33700 Filed 122/23 Page 164 of 215 1840 titled Alameda Research Investments LTD, dated September 12, 1 2 2022. 3 THE COURT: They are received. 4 (Government Exhibits 80, 84, 86, and 192 received in 5 evidence) 6 MS. SASSOON: Your Honor, may I briefly publish each 7 of them? 8 THE COURT: Yes. MS. SASSOON: Mr. Bianco, if we can publish Government 9 10 Exhibit 80. 11 Mr. Bianco, can you just highlight where it says 12 Alameda Research Ventures LLC changing its name to Clifton Bay 13 Investments. 14 Q. Mr. Medrano, what's the date on this document? 15 A. September 8, 2022. 16 MS. SASSOON: If we can now pull up Government Exhibit 17 84. 18 Mr. Bianco, can you highlight where it says resolved 19 that the name of the company be changed from Alameda Ventures 20 LTD to MacLaurin Investments. 21 Q. Mr. Medrano, what's the date on this document? 22 September 23, 2022. 23 MS. SASSOON: Mr. Bianco can you zoom in on the 24 signature, Samuel Bankman-Fried. 25 We can take this down.

- Q. What type of document are we looking at here, Mr. Medrano?
- 21 A. These are Twitter direct messages.
- 22 | Q. And this particular Twitter direct message, who is it
- 23 between?

- 24 A. This is between Cosmo and SBF.
- 25  $\parallel$  Q. What is the date of the messages by the defendant that says

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- 17 18
- 19
- 20 21 initial due diligence questionnaire.
- 22 Who signed this, Mr. Medrano?
- 23 Samuel Bankman-Fried.
- 24 What's the date of this questionnaire signed by Sam
- 25 Bankman-Fried?

- 1 A. December 9, 2020.
- 2 MS. SASSOON: We can take that down.
- 3 Mr. Bianco, can you please publish Government Exhibit
- 4 788.
- 5 | Q. What type of document is this, Mr. Medrano?
- 6 A. This is a Twitter direct message.
- 7 | Q. And who is it between?
- 8 A. Matty Sino and SBF.
- 9 Q. Do you see at the top where SBF sent a message: We bought
- 10 | out Binance's stake in FTX. What's the date of that message?
- 11 | A. July 19, 2021.
- MS. SASSOON: We can take that down.
- 13 Mr. Bianco, can you publish Government Exhibit 770.
- 14 | Q. Who posted this tweet, Mr. Medrano?
- 15 A. The original tweet was posted by the FTX underscore
- 16 | official account.
- 17  $\parallel$  Q. And then what about on top of that? What is that?
- 18 A. Shaq posted.
- 19 | Q. Who is Shaq?
- 20 A. He's a former basketball player.
- 21 MS. SASSOON: Mr. Bianco, can you show the witness
- 22 | what's been marked as Government Exhibit 1476.
- 23 | Q. Do you recognize anyone in this photo?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. Who?

- 1 A. Shaquille O'Neal.
- 2 | Q. Anyone else?

- 3 A. Yes. And Sam Bankman-Fried.
- MS. SASSOON: The government offers Government Exhibit

  1476.
- 6 MR. EVERDELL: No objection.
- 7 THE COURT: Received.
  - (Government Exhibit 1476 received in evidence)
- 9 MS. SASSOON: Mr. Bianco, you can now publish this to 10 the jury.
- 11 Q. Mr. Medrano, can you point out the people you recognize in 12 this photo.
- 13 A. In the center, the tallest man is Shaquille O'Neal, and to 14 the left of him is SBF.
- MS. SASSOON: We can take that down.
- Mr. Bianco, can you please publish Government Exhibit
  794.
- 18 | Q. What type of document is this, Mr. Medrano?
- 19 A. It's a Twitter direct message.
- 20 | Q. And can you read at the top who these messages are between?
- 21 A. It's Matt Ballensweig and SBF.
- 22 Q. What's the date of the first message from Matt Ballensweig
- 23 saying what a good partner Alameda has been to Genesis?
- 24 | A. June 19, 2022.
- MS. SASSOON: We can zoom out.

- Mr. Bianco can you zoom in where it says: Hit me in five with a phone number.
- 3 Q. What's the date of that message from Matt Ballensweig, Mr.
- 4 | Medrano?

2

- | A. June 20, 2022.
- 6 MS. SASSOON: We can take that down.
- 7 Mr. Bianco, if you can now publish Government Exhibit 8 870 and zoom in at the top, the first tweet.
- 9 Q. Mr. Medrano, can you remind us what it means when there is 10 blue font where it says @Senator Stabenow and @John Boozman?
- 11 | A. It's a mention of these other Twitter accounts.
- 12 | Q. And beneath that is there something embedded in the tweet?
- 13 A. Yes. A web address, a link.
- 14  $\parallel$  Q. And what's the web address that's embedded in the tweet?
- 15 | A. Agriculture.senate.gov.
- MS. SASSOON: Mr. Bianco, you can zoom out and if you can please publish next to this exhibit Government Exhibit 796.
- 18 | Q. What type of document is this, Mr. Medrano?
- 19 | A. This is a Twitter direct message.
- 20  $\parallel$  Q. Who is it between?
- 21 A. Frank Chaparro and SBF.
- 22 Q. Do you see where Frank Chaparro wrote: What do you mean by
- 23 | not pushing against the community strategy? Like will you stop
- 24 | lobbying for the Stabenow/Boozman bill if crypto Twitter tells
- $25 \parallel you to stop.$

- 1 BY MS. SASSOON:
- 2 Q. And I want to direct your attention to the exhibit on the
- 3 | right, Government Exhibit 861. What's the date of this tweet?
- 4 A. November 6.
- 5 | Q. And who posted this tweet on the right?
- 6 A. Based Carbon.
- 7 | Q. And do you see where it says "Replying to @SBF\_FTX"? What
- 8 does that mean?
- 9 A. That account is replying to a tweet that was originally
- 10 posted on the SBF account.
- 11 | Q. And can you read that tweet.
- 12 | A. "Thanks Sam. I just deposited more money in my FTX account
- 13 and updated my browser. Ready to go."
- 14  $\parallel$  Q. And above that, do you see where it says SBF and Likes?
- 15 | What is signified by that?
- 16 A. In this one, it signifies that SBF liked this tweet.
- MS. SASSOON: And if we could take down 861 and put up
- 18 | in its place on the right Government Exhibit 865.
- 19 Q. Who posted this tweet originally?
- 20  $\parallel$  A. This treat was originally posted by Crypto\_Bitlord7.
- 21 | Q. What's the date of this tweet?
- 22 A. November 6.
- 23  $\parallel$  Q. And right beneath that, what is signified by replying to
- 24 | @SBF\_FTX?
- 25  $\parallel$  A. Signifies that Crypto\_Bitlord is replying to a tweet that

- 2
- 3 Yes. "Thank you for clearing this up Sam. It sounds like our funds are safe? But what exactly is CZ talking about!?" 4
  - And what is signified at the top here where it says "SBF Likes"?
- It's that SBF liked this tweet. 7

5

6

17

18

19

20

- 8 MS. SASSOON: Okay. We can take that down.
- 9 And Mr. Bianco, if you could publish Government 10 Exhibit 866. And zoom in.
- 11 Q. Mr. Medrano, it's a little faint, but what's the date on this tweet? 12
- 13 A. I believe November 7, 2022.
- 14 MS. SASSOON: And now I'm going to read from a 15 stipulation between the parties, Government Exhibit 2001, 16 paragraph 4, which says that:
  - "The exhibits set forth in Attachment B of this stipulation are for authentic copies of tweets and retweets posted at the dates and times indicated on the exhibits but which were later deleted at the dates and times listed in Attachment B."
- 22 And Mr. Bianco, can you please pull up page 9 of Government Exhibit 2001, the stipulation. 23
- 24 BY MS. SASSOON:
- 25 And Mr. Medrano, what does it say here under Attachment B,

- 1 | in the parenthetical?
- 2 A. Beneath it?
- 3 | Q. Yes.
- 4 A. Oh, "Deleted Tweets."
- 5 | Q. And under Government Exhibit 866, what have the parties
- 6 | stipulated was the date and time of deletion of Government
- 7 | Exhibit 866?
- 8 A. November 8, 2022, at 5:37 p.m.
- 9 MS. SASSOON: We can take that down.
- 10 Mr. Bianco, can you please publish Government
- 11 | Exhibit 803A. And can you zoom in on the upper half of this
- 12 exchange.
- 13 | Q. So what are we looking at here, Mr. Medrano?
- 14 | A. Twitter direct messages.
- 15 | Q. And who are the participants in these direct messages?
- 16 A. Kelsey Tuoc and SBF.
- 17 | Q. And at the top, where it says, "I was just relistening to
- 18 | that conversation we had this summer about whether you should
- 19 | do unethical shit for the greater good." Who sent that
- 20 message?
- 21 A. Kelsey Tuoc.
- 22  $\parallel$  Q. And what was the date of her message?
- 23 | A. November 16, 2022.
- 24 | Q. And where it says, "You were like nah, don't do unethical
- 25 | shit, like if you're running Philip Morris no one's going to

- 1 | want to work with you on philanthropy, " who sent that message?
- 2 A. Kelsey Tuoc.
- $3 \parallel Q$ . And what was the response?
- 4 | A. "Heh."
- 5 | Q. Looking toward the bottom of what's been zoomed in here, do
- 6 | you see where Kelsey Tuoc wrote, "I was trying to figure out
- 7 | like if that was kind of the PR off-the-cuff answer." What was
- 8 | the date of that message?
- 9 A. November 16, 2022.
- 10 | Q. And can you read the response from SBF.
- 11 A. "Man all the dumb shit I said. It's not true, not really."
- 12 MS. SASSOON: And Mr. Bianco, if you could scroll
- 13 down. Keep scrolling down.
- 14 | Q. And Mr. Medrano, can you please read the last two messages
- 15 here.
- 16 A. "I feel bad for those who get fucked by it. By this dumb
- 17 game we woke westerners play where we say all the right
- 18 | shibboleths and so everyone likes us."
- MS. SASSOON: Okay. We can take that down.
- 20 And Mr. Bianco, if you could publish Government
- 21 | Exhibit 803B.
- 22 | Q. And what is this?
- 23 | A. Direct message on Twitter.
- 24 | Q. And so just to be clear, are these public?
- 25  $\parallel$  A. They are not.

## NZaISIBIANZO-cr-00673-LAK Docum\tent3700 Filedi12412/23 Page 175 of 215 1851

- 1 | Q. And who is a party to seeing what's viewed in a direct
- 2 message?
- 3 A. The parties that are in the direct message, so in this
- 4 | scenario, it's Kelsey Tuoc and SBF.
- 5 | Q. And who wrote "Gary and Nishad are gone," on November 16,
- 6 2022?
- 7 A. Kelsey Tuoc.
- 8 | Q. And what did the defendant respond?
- 9 A. "Yeah, scared. Or Gary is scared, Nishad is ashamed and
- 10 guilty."
- 11 | Q. And who wrote "ashamed and guilty because all the customer
- 12 deposits are gone"?
- 13 A. Kelsey Tuoc.
- 14 | Q. And what did SBF respond?
- 15 | A. "Yea."
- 16 | Q. Who wrote, "people I've talked to have said Nishad was much
- 17 | more into the ethics/not being sketchy stuff than you were"?
- 18 A. Kelsey Tuoc.
- 19 Q. And can you read SBF's response.
- 20 | A. "Yea. It hit him hard. I mean it hit all of us hard. But
- 21 | it hit him HARD."
- 22 MS. SASSOON: And Mr. Bianco, you can take that down.
- 23 And can you please publish Government Exhibit 803C.
- 24 | Q. Mr. Medrano, are these also direct messages?
- 25 | A. Yes.

- 1 | Q. And who are the participants here?
- 2 A. Kelsey Tuoc and SBF.
- 3 | Q. Who wrote, "you said a lot of stuff about how you wanted to
- 4 | make regulations, just good ones, was that pretty much just PR
- 5 | too??"
- 6 A. Kelsey Tuoc.
- 7 Q. And can you read the defendant's response on November 16,
- 8 | 2022.
- 9 A. "There's no one really out there making sure good things
- 10 | happen and bad things don't. Usually there's only one
- 11 | toggle--do more or do less. Yeah, just PR. Fuck regulators."
- 12 MS. SASSOON: Okay. We can take that down.
- 13 And Mr. Bianco, can you publish Government
- 14 | Exhibit 803D.
- 15 | Q. And who are the participants in these direct messages?
- 16 A. Kelsey Tuoc and SBF.
- 17 | Q. And what's the date of the defendant's message saying
- 18 | "didn't mean those to all be on the record. Could you take it
- 19 | down?"
- 20 | A. November 16, 2022.
- 21 MS. SASSOON: And Mr. Bianco, can you pull up
- 22 | alongside this Government Exhibit 881.
- 23 | Q. What are we looking at here, Mr. Medrano?
- 24 | A. Kelsey—the Kelsey Tuoc Twitter account.
- 25 || Q. And is this publicly visible to Twitter users?

# NCATSEBAN 20-cr-00673-LAK Docum Neprol 33700 File 0 123/122/23 Page 177 of 215 1853

- $1 \parallel A$ . Yes, it is.
- 2 | Q. And underneath Kelsey Tuoc, can you read what it says in
- 3 | the next line?
- 4 A. "Senior writer at VOX's Future Perfect.
- 5 | Kelsey.piper@vox.com.
- 6 0. What is VOX?
- 7 A. I'm not sure.
- 8 MS. SASSOON: No further questions.
- 9 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 10 | Cross-examination?
- MR. EVERDELL: Thank you, your Honor.
- 12 THE COURT: Mr. Everdell.
- 13 MR. EVERDELL: Just briefly, your Honor.
- 14 | CROSS EXAMINATION
- 15 BY MR. EVERDELL:
- 16 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Medrano.
- 17 A. Good afternoon.
- 18 | Q. Mr. Medrano, you said that you were an investigator; is
- 19 | that right?
- 20 A. Yes, I'm an investigative analyst.
- 21 | Q. Okay. And you work at the U.S. Attorney's Office, correct?
- 22 A. That is correct.
- 23  $\parallel$  Q. And so you work at the same office as the prosecutors.
- 24 | A. That is correct.
- 25  $\parallel$  Q. In your direct examination you were shown a number of

### NCAISEBAN20-cr-00673-LAK Document 3700 Filed 12\$12/23 Page 178 of 215 1854

- 1 | messages, correct?
- 2 A. Yes, the prosecutors showed me the messages.
- 3 | Q. Right. And they were messages between Mr. Bankman-Fried
- 4 | and other people, right?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. Okay. And as you just said, the prosecutors gave you those
- 7 | messages to review before your testimony; is that right?
- 8 A. That is correct.
- 9 | Q. Okay. You didn't select these messages yourself, correct?
- 10 A. I did not.
- 11 | Q. Okay. So you don't know, for example, if there are other
- 12 messages with the same people that my client may have had
- 13 | besides the ones you reviewed.
- 14  $\parallel$  A. That is correct.
- 15 | Q. Okay. Okay. Now you looked at I think a few Twitter
- 16 | direct messages; is that right?
- 17  $\parallel$  A. That is right.
- 18 | Q. And we saw those on the screen before, right?
- 19 | A. Right.
- 20  $\parallel$  Q. And they were with a number of different parties, right?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. I think one was Cosmo, right?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. Another was Matt Ballensweig?
- 25 | A. Yes.

## NZaISIBIANZO-cr-00673-LAK Docum\tent3700 Filed12\$12/23 Page 179 of 215 1855

- 1 | Q. And we just looked at some with Kelsey Tuoc, right?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. Now, Mr. Medrano, you don't know these people yourself,
- 4 | correct?
- $5 \parallel A$ . I do not.
- 6 Q. And you don't know yourself what the nature of
- 7 | Mr. Bankman-Fried's relationship was with the people on the
- 8 messages, correct?
- 9 A. I do not.
- 10 | Q. Okay. You don't know whether he knew them well, for
- 11 | example?
- 12 A. I do not know.
- 13 | Q. Or whether they were total strangers to him, right?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 | Q. Okay. And you don't know, for example, the context that
- 16 | the conversation in the messages was happening in, correct?
- 17 | A. Correct.
- 18 | Q. You don't know the events that may have happened before
- 19 | that conversation took place?
- 20 A. No. I only know what I was seeing, correct.
- 21 | Q. You know what you saw.
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 | Q. Okay. And you don't know from reading the messages
- 24  $\parallel$  themselves what the tone of the conversation was.
- 25 MS. SASSOON: Objection.

# NCalse 180 of 215 1856 NCalse 180 of 215 1856 THE COURT: Sustained. 1 2 MR. EVERDELL: Okay. One moment. 3 Nothing further, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Thank you. 5 Anything else? 6 MS. SASSOON: No, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: You're excused, sir. Thank you. 8 (Witness excused) 9 THE COURT: Next witness? 10 MR. REHN: The government calls Paige Owens. 11 (Witness sworn) THE DEPUTY CLERK: Please state your full name and 12 13 spell it. 14 THE WITNESS: Paige Owens. P-A-I-G-E, Owens, O-W-E-N-S. 15 16 THE DEPUTY CLERK: You may be seated. 17 THE COURT: You may proceed, Mr. Rehn. 18 PAIGE OWENS, 19 called as a witness by the Government, 20 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 21 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. REHN: 22 23 Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Owens. 24 A. Good afternoon. 25 Where do you work?

### NC-aisle 1.212-cr-00673-LAK Document 3.570 - Filied 4.21/12/23 Page 181 of 215 1857

- 1 A. I work at the FBI.
- 2 Q. Is that the Federal Bureau of Investigation?
- $3 \parallel A$ . Yes, it is.
- 4 | Q. What is your position at the FBI?
- 5 A. My position is forensic accountant.
- 6 | Q. Do you work in any particular unit?
- 7 A. Yes, I work in the public corruption unit.
- 8 Q. And what are your job duties as a forensic accountant in
- 9 | the public corruption unit at the FBI?
- 10 A. Yup. So my job duties include analyzing bank statements
- 11 | for different investigations, including campaign finance, fraud
- 12 | against the government, and corruption of public officials.
- 13 | Q. How long have you worked for the FBI?
- 14  $\parallel$  A. For two years.
- 15 | Q. Where did you work prior to that?
- 16 A. I was an auditor at PricewaterhouseCoopers for five years.
- 17 | Q. What sort of work did you do there?
- 18 A. There I analyzed financial statements for different private
- 19 | equity funds.
- 20 | Q. Do you have any professional certifications?
- 21 | A. Yes. I'm a certified public accountant.
- 22 MR. REHN: Mr. Bianco, could you bring up Government
- 23 | Exhibit 3000, page 1. This is a demonstrative aid that was
- 24 | admitted for demonstrative purposes earlier today.
- $25 \parallel Q$ . Ms. Owens, were you asked by the government to review

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Government Exhibit 1320 contains true and correct copies of authentic business records from Signature Bank, and those relate in particular to Ryan Salame and Sam Bankman-Fried.

And Government Exhibits 1336, 1337, and 1338 are authentic copies of business records from Silvergate Bank, and those relate to North Dimension and Alameda market maker bank account, and FTX Digital Market bank account.

If we could bring that back up.

BY MR. REHN:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

- Q. Now, Ms. Owens, did you review the bank statements that

  I've just identified that were identified in stipulation S2004?
- 9 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And did that include the bank statements for the two accounts that we see on the screen here?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- Q. And do these—approximately how many pages were there in the bank statements that you reviewed?
- 15 A. A couple thousand for each.
- MR. REHN: We can bring that down.
- Q. Ms. Owens, in front of you there should be a binder that contains what's been marked for identification as Government Exhibits 1088, 1089, and 1090.
- 20 A. Yep.
- 21 | Q. What are those exhibits?
- A. These exhibits are charts that I produced in conjunction
  with the government that details the flow of funds from various
  business accounts to certain individuals and the eventual
  political contributions made.

- 1 | Q. And is the information contained in Government
- 2 | Exhibits 1088, 1089, and 1090 taken from the bank statements
- 3 | that I just identified that you reviewed?
- 4 | A. Yes.
- 5 | Q. And have you reviewed all the information contained in
- 6 | Government Exhibits 1088, 1089, and 1090?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- 8 | Q. And have you confirmed that this is a—that the information
- 9 contained within these exhibits is taken from the bank
- 10 | statements that I listed previously?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. And do these charts accurately convey information that was
- 13 | in those bank statements?
- 14 A. Yes.
- MR. REHN: Your Honor, the government offers Exhibits
- 16 | 1088, 1089, and 1090.
- 17 | THE COURT: Any objection?
- 18 MR. EVERDELL: No objection, your Honor.
- 19 THE COURT: They are received.
- 20 | (Government's Exhibits 1088, 1089, and 1090 received
- 21 | in evidence)
- 22 MR. REHN: So if we could bring up Government
- 23 | Exhibit 1089.
- 24 BY MR. REHN:
- 25  $\parallel$  Q. And before we get into the details, Ms. Owens, at a high

- 1 | level, could you explain what is summarized on these charts.
- 2 A. Yeah. So reading from left to right, you'll see money
- 3 | flowing from two business bank accounts—Alameda Research's
- 4 | Prime Trust and Silvergate account; the flow of funds was then
- 5 | sent to Sam Bankman-Fried's Prime Trust and Signature Bank
- 6 | accounts, in orange; and then the ultimate place where the
- 7 | funds were sent, which are political contributions, and those
- 8 | are the boxes in green.
- 9 Q. And so we're seeing a flow of funds from the accounts
- 10 | listed on the left through the accounts in the middle to the
- 11 | accounts on the right?
- 12 | A. Correct.
- 13 | Q. Is there a particular methodology you used for the flow of
- 14 | funds that is listed on these charts?
- 15 A. Yes, I used the LIFO method—last in, first out.
- 16 | Q. Can you explain how the last in, first out method works?
- 17 A. Yes, so the last in, first out method works—the last
- 18 payment that was received into an account is then going to fund
- 19 | the following outgoing flows until there's no more money left.
- 20 | Q. Did the government ask you to calculate the flow of funds
- 21 | using the last in, first out method?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23  $\parallel$  Q. Is that a common method used for tracing the flow of funds
- 24 | through bank accounts?
- 25 A. Yes.

# M2alseB1A22-cr-00673-LAK Documente350-Filed €121/23 Page 186 of 215 1862

- 1 | Q. And does it require you to exercise any judgment or
- 2 | discretion?
- 3 | A. No.
- 4 Q. Okay. So let's look here at Government Exhibit 1089. And
- 5 | if we could focus on the top part of the chart. What do we see
- 6 on the top half of this chart?
- 7 A. Yes, so the top half of the chart shows money moving from
- 8 | Alameda Research's Prime Trust account. There were seven wires
- 9 | totaling \$46 million sent from January 14, 2022, to April 14,
- 10 | 2022; the seven wires were then deposited into Samuel
- 11 | Bankman-Fried's Prime Trust account, and then ultimately used
- 12 | to fund political contributions.
- 13 | Q. So looking, for example, at the one in the middle, if you
- 14 could explain the flow of funds on April 4th.
- 15 A. Yes. So on April 4, 2022, there was a \$6 million wire sent
- 16 | from Alameda Research's Prime Trust account to Samuel
- 17 | Bankman-Fried's Prime Trust account; on the same day,
- 18 | \$6 million was then wired from Samuel Bankman-Fried's Prime
- 19 | Trust account to House Majority PAC.
- 20  $\parallel$  Q. So based on the bank records that you reviewed, what was
- 21 | the source of funds that were used for the donation to the
- 22 | House Majority PAC?
- 23 | A. Alameda Research's Prime Trust account.
- 24  $\parallel$  Q. Now let's go ahead and look at the bottom of this chart.
- MR. REHN: And if we could expand the bottom half.

- 1 | Q. What is shown here?
- 2 A. Yes. So what's shown here is four wires sent on August 15,
- 3 | 2022, and October 3, 2022, totaling \$16 million, from Alameda
- 4 | Research's Silvergate account to Samuel Bankman-Fried's
- 5 | Signature Bank account, and then the ultimate political
- 6 contribution sent on August 16th and October 3rd of 2022.
- 7 | Q. And so focusing on the first one listed here, if you could
- 8 explain the flow of funds on August 15th and August 16th.
- 9 A. Yep. So on August 15, 2022, there were three wires which
- 10 | totaled \$10 million sent from Alameda Research's Silvergate
- 11 | account to Samuel Bankman-Fried's Signature Bank account. The
- 12 | next day, on August 16, 2022, there was a \$10 million wire sent
- 13 | from Samuel Bankman-Fried's Signature Bank account to One
- 14 | Nation.
- 15 | Q. So based on the bank records that you reviewed, what was a
- 16 | source of funds that were used for this donation to One Nation?
- 17 A. Alameda Research Silvergate account ending 4456.
- 18 MR. REHN: Mr. Bianco, could I ask you to bring up
- 19 Government Exhibit 28, which is in evidence.
- 20 | I'm sorry. 28. I think it's a spreadsheet. So it
- 21 | may be—and on this first tab that says SBF—Mr. Bianco, could
- 22 | I ask you to scroll to line 76.
- 23 | Q. Ms. Owens, could I ask you to read the type that is listed
- 24 | here.
- 25 A. "Political Donation."

#### M2alste 12/23 Page 188 of 215 1864

- 1 | Q. And what is the recipient here?
- 2 A. House Majority PAC.
- 3 | Q. And what was the date?
- 4  $\parallel$  A. The date was April 4, 2022.
- 5 | O. And the amount?
- 6 A. \$6 million.
- 7 | Q. And referring you back to Government Exhibit 1089, does
- 8 | this match one of the payments you traced that we just looked
- 9 | at?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 MR. REHN: All right. Mr. Bianco, could you scroll
- 12 down to line 133.
- 13 | Q. And if I could ask you to read the type listed here.
- 14 A. "Political Donation."
- 15 | Q. And who's the recipient?
- 16 A. One Nation.
- 17 | Q. And is there something in parentheses there as well?
- 18 A. "One Nation (McConnell)."
- 19 | Q. And what's the amount of this particular donation?
- 20 | A. \$10 million.
- 21 | Q. And going back to Government Exhibit 1089, does this appear
- 22 | to match one of the flow of funds that you traced?
- 23 | A. Yes, the amount matches, and the date is just off by one
- 24 day.
- 25 MR. REHN: We can bring that down.

- 1 | Q. Ms. Owens, I'd now like to bring up Government
- 2 | Exhibit 1090.
- And can you explain what we see here on the first page of 1090.
- 5 | A. Yes. So in this chart, you see on the left the blue box
- 6 | says FTX Digital Markets and Alameda Research sending wires to
- 7 | Nishad Singh's Prime Trust account. That account then sends
- 8 | wires to various political entities in the green boxes on the
- 9 | right.
- 10 | Q. Okay. So let's focus in on the top one.
- Could you explain what we see with respect to the
- 12 | first donation that's listed here.
- 13 A. Yes. So on April 21, 2022, there was a \$2.65 million wire
- 14 sent from FTX Digital Markets's Silvergate account to Nishad
- 15 | Singh's Prime Trust account. That then funded three donations,
- 16 which are shown on the right side.
- 17 | Q. So based on your tracing, what was a source of funds for
- 18 | the July 7th wire to the LGBTQ Victory Fund Inc.?
- 19 | A. The wire that was received from FTX Digital Markets.
- 20  $\parallel$  Q. And what was the date of that donation?
- 21 | A. July 7, 2022.
- 22 MR. REHN: Mr. Bianco, could I ask you to bring up
- 23 Government Exhibit 477 alongside Government Exhibit 1090.
- 24 | Q. Ms. Owens, do you see some messages here on the right-hand
- 25 | side?

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. What is the date of these messages?
- 3 | A. July 5, 2022.
- 4 Q. And could I ask you to read the third message down.
- 5 A. "Anyway, what's up with the LGBT Victory Fund?"
- 6 MR. REHN: And Mr. Bianco, could I ask you to go to 7 the next page.
- Q. And Ms. Owens, if I could ask you to read, sort of two
  thirds of the way down, the message beginning "but in general."
- 10 | THE COURT: Didn't we do this with another witness?
- MR. REHN: Excuse me, your Honor?
- THE COURT: Didn't we do this with Mr. Singh on the stand?
- MR. REHN: We looked at these messages but not in connection with this summary chart, your Honor.
- 16 | THE COURT: Isn't it redundant?
- MR. REHN: We can take it down.
- Mr. Bianco, could I please ask you to bring back up

  19 Government Exhibit 1090.
- And if we could now go to the second page of Government Exhibit 1090.
- 22 BY MR. REHN:
- Q. Ms. Owens, could I ask you to explain what is summarized on page 2 of Government Exhibit 1090.
- 25 A. Yes. So on September 30, 2022, Alameda Research's

MR. REHN: If you could bring that down so we can identify the original source. I mean, Government Exhibit 1090.

23

24

- 1 | Q. So for all the political contributions listed on the
- 2 | right-hand side, were you able to identify the source of funds
- 3 | for those contributions?
- 4 A. Yes. The source was North Dimension Inc.'s Silvergate
- 5 | account.
- 6 MR. REHN: Okay. And Mr. Bianco, could I ask you to expand the bottom part of this exhibit.
- Q. Ms. Owens, do you see that there are also some wires listedon the bottom here? Could you explain what this shows.
- 10 A. Yes. So North Dimension's account sent seven wires between
- 11 | April 22nd and May 23rd, 2022, totaling \$12.6 million to Ryan
- 12 | Salame's Greylock bank account, which were then used over a
- 13 period of time to fund the political donations in the green
- 14 | boxes on the right.
- 15 | Q. And if I could ask you to read the two middle boxes on the
- 16 | right.
- 17 | A. Yup. So on April 22nd and May 12th of 2022, \$700,000 was
- 18 donated to Results for North Carolina Incorporated; and on
- 19 | April 25th, '22 and May 2nd, '22, \$500,000 was given to
- 20 | Defending Main Street Super PAC.
- 21 | Q. And what was the source of funds for these donations?
- 22 A. The North Dimension bank account.
- MR. REHN: Mr. Bianco, could we please bring back up
- 24 | Government Exhibit 28.
- 25 And if we could go to the tab that says RDS at the

5

6

7

1

2

3

8 9 type.

10 A. Political.

11 Q. And does the recipient and the date match one of the

transfers that you traced in Government Exhibit 1088? 12

13 A. Yes.

14 MR. REHN: We can bring that down.

15 Q. Ms. Owens, aside from your work in helping to prepare and

review the exhibits we've been looking at, have you had any

17 other involvement with this case?

18 A. No.

16

19

20

21

25

MR. REHN: Nothing further, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Any cross?

22 MR. EVERDELL: Yes, your Honor.

23 CROSS EXAMINATION

24 BY MR. EVERDELL:

Good afternoon, Ms. Owens.

- 1 A. Good afternoon.
- 2 Q. Ms. Owens, you said you're an accountant with the FBI; is
- $3 \parallel$ that right?
- 4 | A. Yes.
- 5 | Q. And you were asked by the prosecutors in this case to
- 6 perform an analysis of various bank records, right?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- 8 | Q. In particular, you were asked to trace money flows from
- 9 different bank accounts belonging to Alameda Research and North
- 10 | Dimension to bank accounts belonging to Mr. Bankman-Fried,
- 11 | Nishad Singh, and Ryan Salame, right?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. Okay. And from those accounts, you were asked to trace the
- 14 | outflows from the accounts belonging to the individuals to
- 15 | various political organizations, right?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. The prosecutors were the ones who gave you the dates of the
- 18 money transfers that they wanted you to look at, right?
- 19 | A. Yes.
- 20  $\parallel$  Q. And they specified which accounts you should look at.
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. And they told you the names of the political organizations
- 23 | that they wanted you to look at as well, right?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. Okay. Ms. Owens, you testified that the accounting method

- 1 | that you used to determine whether the transfers from the
- 2 | Alameda accounts, whether those were the source of the
- 3 | political donations at the end, was called LIFO; is that right?
- 4 | A. Yes.
- 5 | Q. And you said that stands for last in, first out; is that
- 6 | right?
- 7 | A. Correct.
- 8 | Q. Okay. And I think you said that method assumes that when a
- 9 | bank account receives a deposit, then any distributions out of
- 10 | that bank account after the deposits received come from that
- 11 | last deposit, right?
- 12 | A. Correct.
- 13 | Q. Okay. LIFO isn't the only method that you can use, right?
- 14 | A. Correct.
- 15 | Q. You can also use, for example, the FIFO method, correct?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 | Q. FIFO stands for first in, first out, right?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 | Q. And that's also a common method of accounting method to do
- 20 | this sort of analysis, right?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. And that method assumes that the outflows from the bank
- 23 | account are the product of the earliest deposit, not the most
- 24 | recent deposit, correct?
- 25 || A. Correct.

### NC-aisle 1.2/12/23 Page 196 of 215 1872

- 1 | Q. Okay. So just to use a simple example, if I deposit a
- 2 | thousand dollars into a bank account in January and another
- 3 | thousand in February and another thousand in March, and then I
- 4 | withdraw a thousand in April, then under the FIFO method, the
- 5 | thousand that was withdrawn in April was the result of the
- 6 | thousand that was deposited in January, right?
- 7 | A. Correct.
- 8 Q. Okay. Because that was the first deposit that came in,
- 9 || right?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. And under LIFO, on the other hand, the thousand withdrawn
- 12 | in April would have been the result of the thousand that was
- 13 deposited in March, right?
- 14 | A. Correct.
- 15 | Q. Okay. Because that was the last deposit that came in.
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. And if you use FIFO rather than LIFO, the results can
- 18 | differ, can't they?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 | Q. Okay. And the reason why you have to adopt one of these
- 21 | methods at all, right, is because money is fungible, correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23  $\parallel$  Q. Okay. And fungible just means that any dollar is the same
- 24 | as any other dollar, right?
- 25 A. Correct.

- 1 | Q. So if you're depositing money into an account that already
- 2 has money in it, there's no way to distinguish one dollar from
- 3 | another, right?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 | Q. So these methods you use are a way of sort of using a
- 6 | method that has parameters to try to trace funds, right?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- 8 | Q. Even though the money itself is fungible.
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 | Q. Okay. I want to just take a look at one of the donations
- 11 | that you just were asked about, okay?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- MR. EVERDELL: Okay. So if we can put up what's
- 14 | already in evidence as Government Exhibit 1088.
- I might have to ask the government's assistance on
- 16 | this since we don't have it in our system yet.
- 17 | THE COURT: Sure.
- 18 | Q. Okay. That's Government's 1088; is that right? Do you
- 19 | recognize that, Ms. Owens?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. Okay. And these you said are the deposits to the political
- 22 organizations that relate to Ryan Salame, right?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 | MR. EVERDELL: Okay. And if we could just blow up
- 25 down at the bottom, that bottom portion. Thank you. I

# NCASUBANO-cr-00673-LAK Documents70-Fileds12/12/23 Page 198 of 215 1874 appreciate the help.

- 2 Q. All right. So those are wires that came from the North
- 3 Dimension account, went into Ryan Salame's Greylock account,
- 4 | and then out to political organizations, right?
- 5 A. Correct.

- 6 Q. Okay. And you said that there—it shows here that there
- 7 | are seven wires, right, going to the Greylock account between
- 8 | April 22nd and May 23rd of 2022, right?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. And then seven wires going out, April 22nd to May 25th,
- 11 | right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. Okay. And then you see one of those wires down at the
- 14 | bottom is a \$250,000 wire to VIEW PAC, right?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. And that's on May 16th of 2022, right?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 MR. EVERDELL: Okay. If we could now pull up what is
- 19 now in evidence as Government Exhibit 1246. I believe it was
- 20 | just received by stipulation.
- 21 MR. REHN: Your Honor, we didn't actually offer the
- 22 | underlying bank records so it's not in evidence.
- 23 THE COURT: It is not in evidence, but—take it from
- 24 | there.
- 25 MR. EVERDELL: Sure. Thank you, your Honor.

- 1 BY MR. EVERDELL:
- 2 Q. All right. Well, let me ask you this, Ms. Owens: Do you
- 3 | recall reviewing the Greylock records for Ryan Salame's
- 4 | Greylock bank account?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 | Q. Okay. And did you review the records that relate to—that
- 7 | are around that May time, May 2022 time period when that
- 8 donation to VIEW PAC was made, right?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. Okay. And do you recall looking at the deposits that came
- 11 | in and the withdrawals that went out, right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. And do you recall seeing that there were deposits that came
- 14 | in around that time from the North Dimension bank account,
- 15 | right?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. Okay. Do you recall in particular a deposit was made on
- 18 | May 13th from the North Dimension bank account of 3.25 million,
- 19 | approximately?
- 20 A. I believe so.
- 21 | Q. Okay. But do you recall also a deposit that came in later
- 22 | that same day from Heller & Robbins PC?
- 23 | A. I don't recall.
- 24 | Q. All right. Let's see if I can refresh your recollection.
- 25 MR. EVERDELL: If we can pull up Government

	NZaīsleB1A220-cr-00673-LAK Docum@ontt@570-Filled ≤12/12/23 Page 200 of 215 1876
1	Exhibit 1246. And if you could scroll down, first to page 14,
2	of the pdf. Well, first off, stay at this page. Well, let's
3	go down to—I take it back. Let's go down to page 14 of the
4	pdf, if we could. Is that something you're able to do?
5	Oh, sorry. Right. If you could go down to page 15.
6	Okay. And just look first down at the bottom of the
7	page, on the May 13th. If you could just highlight the last
8	couple entries down there.
9	BY MR. EVERDELL:
10	Q. All right. First take a look at that. And then if you
11	could take a look at the page that follows up at the top.
12	MR. EVERDELL: If we could go to the next page.
13	Thank you.
14	Q. Okay. Now why don't you take a look at that.
15	A. Yep.
16	Q. And does this refresh your recollection about whether a
17	wire came in from Heller & Robbins PC at the same—later that
18	same day, on May 13th, after the North Dimension wire?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Okay. And then do you recall whether or not the outflow to
21	VIEW PAC was made after that wire from Heller & Robbins?
22	A. I'd have to review it myself.
23	MR. EVERDELL: Okay. If we could just minimize the
24	bottom one and highlight a little bit more of that same page,
25	from the top. No, sorry, go from the top. Yup. Yup. That's

### NC-aisle 1.2/12/23 Page 201 of 215 1877

- 1 | right. Fine. Right there. Thanks.
- 2 | Q. Why don't you take a look at that blowout and see if that
- 3 refreshes your recollection.
- 4 | A. Yes.
- 5 | Q. Okay. Does it refresh your recollection about a wire,
- 6 | whether the Heller & Robbins wire came in before the wire going
- 7 | out to VIEW PAC?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. So what is your recollection, having been refreshed?
- 10 A. Looking at these statements, using the LIFO method, the
- 11 | source of VIEW PAC was Heller & Robbins.
- 12 | Q. And not North Dimension, correct?
- 13 A. Looking at these statements, yes.
- 14 MR. EVERDELL: Okay. Now we can put that down.
- 15 | Q. Ms. Owens, in each of the exhibits you talked about, your
- 16 | analysis on the left side of the exhibit begins with the source
- 17 | of funds, right?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. And in each of your exhibits the funds originate at bank
- 20 | accounts that either belong to Alameda Research or North
- 21 | Dimension, Inc.; is that right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. Okay. Now as part of your analysis, you did not analyze
- 24 || where the money in those accounts came from, right?
- 25 A. Correct.

# NC+asteBAN20-cr-00673-LAK Documernt 0350-FRHed 1124122/23 Page 202 of 215 1878

- 1 | Q. All right. So you don't know whether those funds came from
- 2 | Alameda's trading profits, for example.
- 3 | A. Correct.
- 4 | Q. Your analysis simply shows that money flowed out of those
- 5 | Alameda and North Dimension accounts into other accounts on
- 6 particular dates, correct?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- 8 0. And that's it.
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 MR. EVERDELL: One moment, your Honor.
- 11 Nothing further, your Honor.
- 12 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 13 Anything else, Mr. Rehn?
- 14 MR. REHN: Briefly, your Honor.
- 15 THE COURT: Proceed.
- 16 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 17 | BY MR. REHN:
- 18 | Q. Ms. Owens, do you recall you were asked some questions
- 19 about the difference between the first in, first out method and
- 20 | the last in, first out method?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 MR. REHN: If we could bring up Government
- 23 | Exhibit 1089.
- 24  $\parallel$  Q. And if we look at the wires here, what do you see? Let's
- 25 || focus first on the top half of this page. Do the wires coming

- 1 | from Alameda Research account into the Sam Bankman-Fried
- 2 account tend to line up in terms of date with the wires coming
- 3 out?
- 4 MR. EVERDELL: Objection.
- 5 THE COURT: Sustained as to form.
- 6 | Q. Let's focus on the March 11th wire, coming from Alameda
- 7 Research into the Samuel Bankman-Fried Prime Trust account. Do
- 8 you see that?
  - A. Yes.

- 10 | Q. When was the wire correlating to that amount that was sent
- 11 | to Guarding Against Pandemics?
- 12 MR. EVERDELL: Objection, form.
- 13 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 14 | A. The wire from Sam Bankman-Fried's account to Guarding
- 15 | Against Pandemics was sent on March 11, 2022.
- 16 | Q. And if we go to the next line, when was the wire that was
- 17 | sent from Alameda Research to Prime Trust?
- 18 A. March 11, 2022.
- 19 | Q. I'm sorry. The next line down.
- 20 A. Oh. Oh, April 4, 2022.
- 21  $\parallel$  Q. And when was the wire sent to the House Majority PAC?
- 22 | A. April 4, 2022.
- 23  $\parallel$  Q. And if we can just do one more. What about the next one?
- 24 | A. January 31, 2022.
- 25  $\parallel$  Q. And when was the wire sent out from that Prime Trust

	NZaIsleB1A1212-cr-00673-LAK Documberne 13570- Filterdi112≠122/23 Page 204 of 215 1880
1	account?
2	A. January 31, 2022.
3	MR. REHN: We could bring that down.
4	Q. Do you recall being asked some questions about the chart
5	you prepared for Ryan Salame's bank accounts?
6	A. Yes.
7	MR. REHN: Your Honor, the government would now offer
8	Government Exhibit 505 pursuant to stipulation 2003. This is a
9	Slack chat between Ryan Salame and an individual identified as
10	Scott.
11	MR. EVERDELL: It's beyond the scope.
12	THE COURT: I don't know what it is yet.
13	I'm sorry. Is there a part of this you want to invite
14	my attention to or not?
15	MR. REHN: Yes, your Honor. This is responsive to the
16	questioning about the Ryan Salame tracing that the witness did.
17	THE COURT: Yes, I assume that's so, but is there a
18	part of it that you want me to look at?
19	MR. REHN: On the second page, your Honor. We're just
20	going to pull up the third message down.
21	THE COURT: Show me the preceding chart, the chart
22	that contains the money moving through Salame.
23	MR. REHN: Yes. If we could go to Government
24	Exhibit 1088 for the judge.
25	THE COURT: Larger, please.

And the date we're looking at?

MR. REHN: So the dates range from May of 2022—or actually April of 2022 through I believe November of 2022. And in the prior exhibit the dates are from I believe November of 2021.

THE COURT: Back to the 505, please.

And your objection, Mr. Everdell?

MR. EVERDELL: Your Honor, it's beyond the scope. The message is from November of 2021. The analysis is from—sorry—April 2022. This witness has only testified about money flows. This has nothing to do with the money flows or the particular political organizations that the money supposedly went to. It's completely beyond the scope of her testimony, and I'm fairly confident she's never seen this communication before. I think it's completely beyond the scope.

MR. REHN: Your Honor, the witness was asked about the tracing through the Ryan Salame bank account, and the cross-examination was clearly meant to suggest that the tracing that the witness performed was inaccurate, and I think that evidence of a statement of one of the defendant's agents is responsive to that cross.

MR. EVERDELL: Your Honor, this has nothing to do with the accuracy of the defendant's analysis. This is a statement by Ryan Salame purportedly about political donation. It has

commencement of your case, if any, promptly after they rest a

week from tomorrow, I guess. First point.

24

1 Second point, they would like you to be obliged to 2 identify, if you're putting in a case, who the witnesses will 3 be, at least the first few, if there are going to be more than 4 one, and the sequence by a date certain. 5 MR. COHEN: Well, your Honor, we will be prepared to go forward on the 26th if we do put on a case. 6 7 Is that the right date? THE COURT: 8 MR. EVERDELL: 26th, your Honor, that's a Thursday. 9 THE COURT: I think that's right. 10 MR. COHEN: I'm looking at your Honor's calendar. 11 THE COURT: Well, let's hope it's right. MR. COHEN: 12 I carry this with me all the time. 13 THE COURT: I'll treasure that thought. Especially if 14 I can find my own calendar. 15 Thursday is the 26th. Next Thursday. 16 Okay. Now it would seem to me-well, Ms. Sassoon, 17 when do you want notice? 18 MS. SASSOON: As it stands, rebuttal expert notice 19 would then be due that Monday, and we'd like notice of the 20 other witnesses by that Monday as well. 21 THE COURT: Okay. What do you say to that? 22 MR. COHEN: Your Honor, for the last several weeks, 23 well, the entire trial, we've been getting notice from the 24 government on the Friday before the week of all the witnesses

for the week, without being told who will be called in what

	NCalse 12/12/23 Page 209 of 215 1885
1	order, so in keeping with the symmetry of that, I would propose
2	that we provide our notice on the 24th, which is two days
3	before, which is how we've been receiving notice throughout the
4	trial.
5	THE COURT: Do you think you could negotiate a
6	reasonable compromise here, folks?
7	MR. COHEN: We can certainly try.
8	THE COURT: And if you can manage that, I'm going to
9	send you to the Middle East.
10	MR. COHEN: That's above my pay rate, your Honor.
11	THE COURT: What about that?
12	MR. COHEN: Let us try. If your Honor will permit us,
13	we'll try.
14	THE COURT: You'll let me know in the morning. But
15	the rebuttal expert notices are due October 23rd.
16	MR. ROOS: And on that, your Honor, in case it's
17	helpful for the defense and the Court, your Honor's order on
18	the defense experts and the possibility of rebuttal experts
19	basically attached or keyed various potential defense experts
20	to various government experts or witnesses. The government is
21	not calling the-
22	MR. RAYMOND: Andria van der Merwe, the expert on
23	commodities.
24	MR. ROOS: So the only one is the one who testified,
	i

25 Easton.

THE COURT: So can we rely at this point on what constitutes the universe of potential defense expert notices?

MR. COHEN: Your Honor, we're still working through that, and we would—we can have a more concrete answer for your Honor tomorrow. We're certainly not going to rebut the expert that they didn't call, but—

THE COURT: That seems reasonable.

MR. COHEN: As much as we'd like to hear about corn and all the other things on their charts. But there is one issue that I do want to talk with my colleagues and my client about tonight.

THE COURT: Sure. Okay.

All right. Anything else tonight?

MS. SASSOON: One issue, your Honor. We're calling tomorrow an attorney from FTX. His name is Can Sun.

THE COURT: And he's a former attorney from FTX, right?

MS. SASSOON: Yes.

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. SASSOON: I reached out to counsel over the lunch break to ask that they flag if there are any issues they're going to raise on cross-examination related to their potential presence of counsel defense, and so hopefully we can work that out tonight and raise any issues before the witness takes the stand with your Honor. And we also are anticipating at least

# Case 1:22-cr-00673-LAK Document 370 Filed 12/12/23 Page 212 of 215 1888 INDEX OF EXAMINATION Examination of: Page ELIORA MICHAELA KATZ PETER DOUGLAS EASTON CORY GADDIS SHAMEL MEDRANO PAIGE OWENS

# Case 1:22-cr-00673-LAK Document 370 Filed 12/12/23 Page 213 of 215 1889 GOVERNMENT EXHIBITS Exhibit No. Received 914A 1014, 1017, including its subparts, 1018, 1023-1033, 1035, 1039-1041, 1044, 1045, 1050, 1051, 30, 56, 89, 188, 201, 213, 308, 310, 314, 317, 327, 344, 506, 1735, 3000-3016 28M, 28F, 42M, 42F, 44M, 44F, 50M, 50F, 325M, 325F, 340M, 340F 803A-D, 823, 853, 861, 865, 870, and 881

	Case 1	1:22-cr-00673-LAK Document 370 Filed 12/12/23 Page 214 of 215 1890	
1	836		
2	839		
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
			]

	Case 1:22-cr-00673-LAK Document 370 Filed 12/12/23 Page 215 of 215 1891
1	GOVERNMENT EXHIBITS
2	Exhibit No. Received
3	844
4	845
5	856
6	870
7	913-T
8	913-A
9	914-T
10	916-т
11	1476
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	